

East Avenue Loop Project: Index to Rebuttal Testimony, November 26, 2007

Number	Sponsoring Witness	Summary of Testimony	248 / 250 Criteria	Exhibit No.	Exhibit Name
1	Dean Laforest	The purpose of Mr. LaForest's testimony is to respond to a recommendation made by City of South Burlington witness Juli Beth Hinds, that a single-pole, double-circuit line design configuration be utilized in the Country Club Estates and Valley Ridge neighborhoods in the City of South Burlington. Mr. LaForest also responds to concerns expressed by the Department of Public Service regarding ISO-NE approval.	30 V.S.A § 248(b)(3) 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(4)	None	
2	Munir Kasti	The purpose of Mr. Kasti's rebuttal testimony is to explain why City of South Burlington witness Juli Beth Hinds' recommendation that a single-pole, double-circuit line design configuration be utilized in the Country Club Estates and Valley Ridge neighborhoods in the City of South Burlington is not a good utility practice, would negate the reliability benefits the project is designed to achieve and should be rejected by the Board.	30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3) 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(6)	PET REB.2.1 PET REB.2.2	South Burlington's Response to PET:CSB.33 and PET:CSB.34 Burlington City Council Resolution Adopted November 13, 2007
3	Terry Cecchini	The purpose of Mr. Cecchini's testimony is to respond from the Green Mountain Power Corporation perspective to a recommendation made by City of South Burlington witness Juli Beth Hinds, that a single-pole, double-circuit line design configuration be used in the Country Club Estates and Valley Ridge neighborhoods in the City of South Burlington. Mr. Cecchini also responds to a concern expressed by the Department of Public Service regarding Demand Response.	30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(2) 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3) 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(6)	None	
4	Brad Fossum	The purpose of the Mr. Fossum's testimony is to discuss the design of a single-pole, double-circuit structure for portions of the 115 kV line of the Project.	10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)	PET REB.4.1	Sketch of Proposed Structures
5	Ernie Hiatt	The purpose of the testimony is to address the Department of Public Service's concern regarding the reliability of cost predictions for the Project.	30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(4)	None	
6	Michael Buscher	The purpose of Mr. Buscher's rebuttal testimony is to respond to comments and recommendations submitted by other parties regarding aesthetics.	30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)	PET REB.6.1 PET REB.6.2 PET REB.6.3	Cross Section, Country Club Drive Estates Memorandum of Understanding with the Department of Public Service Landscape Mitigation Planting Plan
7	Jerry Ostrander	The purpose of the testimony is to describe the construction staging areas for the Project, to address the natural resource and archeological impacts for those areas, and to respond to concerns from the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources concerning construction in the Winooski Wetland / Gorge Island area.	10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(G) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(4) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(5) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)(A)	PET REB.7.1 PET REB.7.2 PET REB.7.3 PET REB.7.4 PET REB.7.5	Map of Route 2A Williston Laydown Area November 15, 2007 Louis Berger Group, Inc. Report regarding Proposed Williston Laydown Area November 14, 2007 Vermont Division for Historic Preservation Environmental Predictive Model for Locating Precontact Archaeological Sites Map of St. Michaels Alt #2 Map of Gorge Road #2

East Avenue Loop Project: Index to Rebuttal Testimony, November 26, 2007

Number	Sponsoring Witness	Summary of Testimony	248 / 250 Criteria	Exhibit No.	Exhibit Name
				PET REB.7.6	Map of SD Ireland Alt #2
8	Jeff Nelson/Daniel Prasch	The purpose of the testimony is to address concerns regarding impacts on natural resources raised by Agency of Natural Resources and the City of South Burlington, including wetlands, natural communities, wildlife, and rare threatened and endangered (RTE) species.	10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(E) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(F) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(G) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(4) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)(A)	PET REB.8.1	Second Supplemental Report Addressing the Revised Construction Access Routes and Laydown Area
9	Jeff Disorda	The purpose of Mr. Disorda's testimony is to respond to comments and recommendations concerning VELCO's transmission vegetation management practices for the East Avenue Loop corridor.	30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8) 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)(A)	PET REB.9.1	VELCO Transmission Vegetation Management Plan
				PET REB.9.2	Study of the Impacts of Vegetation Management Techniques on Wetlands for Utility Rights-of-Way in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (June 1989)
				PET REB.9.3	W.C. Bramble and W.R. Byrnes, Thirty Years of Research on Development of Plant Cover on an Electric Transmission Right-of-Way, Journal of Arboriculture 9(3), March 1983
				PET REB.9.4	W.C. Bramble and W.R. Byrnes, Integrated Vegetation Management of an Electric Utility Right-of-Way Ecosystem, Down to Earth, Vol 51, No. 2 (1996)
				PET REB.9.5	R.H. Yahner, R.J. Hutnik, and S.A. Licinsky, Bird Populations Associated with an Electric Transmission Right-of-Way, Journal of Arboriculture 28(3), May 2002
				PET REB.9.6	Utility Vegetation Management and Bulk Electric Reliability Report from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (September 7, 2004)
				PET REB.9.7	Order on Violation Risk Factors, Docket No. RR07-12-00 (FERC, June 26, 2007)
				PET REB.9.8	ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 3, Appendix C

2278618