

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Petition of Vermont Transco LLC and Vermont)
Electric Power Company, Inc. (collectively,)
“VELCO”), for a certificate of public good,) Case No. 19-____-PET
pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248, authorizing the)
construction of the New Haven Operations)
Facility in New Haven, Vermont)

**PREFILED TESTIMONY OF
PETER W. LIND
ON BEHALF OF VELCO**

November 15, 2019

Mr. Lind’s testimony provides a general overview of the Project, describes the scope, alternatives, cost and schedule for the proposed Project, and addresses a subset of the Section 248 criteria.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Project Overview.....	3
3. Construction Schedule and Impacts	13
4. Need for the Project	17
5. Project Cost (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(2))	19
6. Orderly Development and Public Outreach (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1)).....	24
7. System Stability and Reliability (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3)).....	33
8. Economic Benefits (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(4)).....	33
9. Transportation Systems and Traffic (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5)).....	34
10. Educational and Municipal Services (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5)).....	36
11. Development Affecting Public Investments (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5)).....	36
12. Public Health and Safety (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5)).....	37
13. Least-Cost Integrated Resource Plan (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(6))	37
14. Twenty Year Electrical Plan (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(7)).....	38
15. Waste to Energy Facility (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(9))	39
16. Existing or Planned Transmission Facilities (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(10))	39
17. Conclusion	40

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Petitioner PWL-1	Resume of Peter W. Lind
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-2	Site Plan
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-3	Architectural Renderings of B.A.W. Architecture
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-4	Distribution Improvements
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-5	Rock Removal Specification
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-6	Alternative Site Analysis
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-7	Project Milestone Schedule
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-8	Project Cost Estimate Summary
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-9	New Haven Town Plan (Excerpts)
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-10	Addison County Regional Plan (Excerpts)
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-11	Public Outreach Presentation Documents 01. 10/29/2018 Meeting with New Haven 02. 08/19/2019 Meeting with New Haven 03. 09/10/2019 Meeting with New Haven 04. 09/18/2019 New Haven Town Hall Mtg 05. 09/24/2019 45-Day Advance Notice 06. 10/07/2019 New Haven DRB Mtg
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-12	Draft Public Meeting Minutes, New Haven DRB
Exhibit Petitioner PWL-13	VTrans Correspondence

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Petition of Vermont Transco LLC and Vermont)
Electric Power Company, Inc. (collectively,)
“VELCO”), for a certificate of public good,) Case No. 19-____-PET
pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248, authorizing the)
construction of the New Haven Operations)
Facility in New Haven, Vermont)

**PREFILED TESTIMONY OF
PETER W. LIND
ON BEHALF OF VELCO**

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Q1. Please state your name, occupation, and address.

3 A1. My name is Peter W. Lind. I am employed by Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc.
4 (“VELCO”) as a Senior Project Manager. My business address is 366 Pinnacle Ridge
5 Road, Rutland, Vermont 05701, which I refer to here as the Pinnacle Ridge Campus.

6 Q2. Please describe your education, job description, and professional experience.

7 A2. My resume is attached as **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-1**. I have worked in the electric
8 utility industry for over 39 years predominately in the areas of Engineering and Project
9 Management. At VELCO, I am responsible for multiple functions to primarily manage
10 and support capital transmission and communication projects involving design,
11 engineering, permitting, land acquisition and construction activities, including oversight
12 of final Project commissioning activities. I also coordinate federal and local compliance
13 requirements for new projects including public outreach, coordination with regulatory
14 agencies, and oversight of contractors, among other functions.

1 Q3. Have you previously testified before the Public Utility Commission (“PUC”) or in other
2 judicial or administrative proceedings?

3 A3. Yes. I have testified in a number of PUC proceedings, including regulatory proceedings
4 such as Docket 5270 (Demand Side Management); transmission proceedings that include
5 Docket 6860 (Northwest Reliability Project), Docket 7099 (Kemp & Linton
6 Condemnations), Docket 7121 (Grice Condemnation), and Docket 7970 (Vermont Gas
7 Addison Project); and Section 248a telecommunications proceedings that include
8 Dockets 7711 & 7752 (Northeast Mountain in Wells), Docket 7750 (Garfield Corners in
9 Hyde Park) and Docket 7767 (Okemo Mountain).

10 Q4. What is the purpose of your testimony?

11 A4. My testimony supports the Petition for a Certificate of Public Good (“CPG”) pursuant to
12 30 V.S.A. § 248 with respect to the proposed New Haven Operations Facility, as
13 described further below. I also introduce the other witnesses offering testimony in
14 support of the Project; provide an overview of the Project’s scope, alternatives, cost, and
15 schedule; and address a subset of the Section 248 criteria.

16 Q5. Please identify each of the other witnesses on behalf of the Petitioner and the scope of
17 their testimony.

18 A5. In further support of the Petition, VELCO submits prefiled testimony and exhibits
19 sponsored by the following witnesses:

Witness

Subject Matter

David P. Haas, VELCO

Explains the role of the Main Control Center in VELCO's transmission operations, and describes the need for the Backup Control Center and its effect on system stability and reliability under 30 V.S.A. §248(b)(3).

Daniel L. Nelson, VELCO

Explains the role of a data center in VELCO's business operations, and describes the need for the Secondary Data Center and its effect on system stability and reliability under 30 V.S.A. §248(b)(3).

Jacob T. Reed, VELCO

Addresses the Project's potential impacts on natural resources and historic sites under 30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5).

Michael J. Buscher, T.J. Boyle & Assoc.

Evaluates the Project's potential effects on aesthetics under 30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5).

Ken H. Kaliski, Resource Systems Group

Assesses the sound levels associated with the Project under 30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5).

1

2 **2. Project Overview**

3 Q6. Please describe the Project in general terms.

4 A6. The New Haven Operations Facility involves the construction of a new, two-story
5 building situated on the south side of Route 17 (Main Street) in New Haven, Vermont
6 between Forest Drive and Town Hill Road, proximate to VELCO's existing New Haven
7 substation. The Project is intended to serve as VELCO's backup control and data center
8 to be used during a catastrophic event affecting the company's ability to manage its
9 transmission assets from VELCO's Main Control Center facility ("MCC") at the Pinnacle

1 Ridge Campus. The building also includes an emergency response and training facility
2 for VELCO's transmission operations, while also housing a Secondary Data Center
3 designed to collect, safeguard, and use transmission-related data critical to VELCO's
4 business operations.

5 This Project will support VELCO's responsibility to reliably transmit electric power
6 within Vermont and between neighboring transmission systems, for use within the state, a
7 duty that was assigned to VELCO through its founding CPG (Docket 2735). As
8 explained more fully in the prefiled testimony of Mr. Haas, transmission utilities such as
9 VELCO are required to design, operate, and maintain a transmission network according
10 to national and regional reliability standards developed and enforced by the North
11 American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC"). Under these standards—and
12 specifically NERC EOP-008-1 (**Exhibit Petitioner DPH-2**)—VELCO is required to
13 have primary and backup operational functionality, with facilities that can be operated
14 independently. The backup facility must be capable of coming online within two (2)
15 hours after a loss of the primary operations center. The Project's Backup Control Center
16 will replace VELCO's current backup facility at its West Rutland substation. The
17 existing backup facility is inadequate both in its location and functionality for the reasons
18 set forth in Mr. Haas's testimony.

19 Q7. Please generally describe the Project location.

20 A7. The proposed Project site is located on five acres of VELCO's 110-acre property, setback
21 on the south side of Main Street/Route 17 in New Haven, Vermont. The Project is

1 located in a predominantly rural setting between US Route 7 and the New Haven town
2 center to the east. The area immediately surrounding the Project to the north, east, and
3 west includes open fields interspersed with a small number of agricultural buildings and
4 an occasional residence. Further to the south of the Project, there are more densely-
5 situated single family homes along Town Hill Road.

6 Existing vegetation and topography largely screen most of the Project site from the
7 surrounding area. Much of the existing vegetation on the Property will be retained, and
8 some additional landscaping will be installed to supplement the natural screening.

9 A proposed landscape plan and photographs of the existing area are provided with the
10 Aesthetic Analysis Report (**Exhibit Petitioner MJB-2**) prepared by Michael Buscher,
11 Principal Landscape Architect with T.J. Boyle Associates in Burlington, Vermont.

12 Q8. Please describe the overall level of design of the Project.

13 A8. VELCO retained B.A.W. Architecture, a consultant experienced in designing primary
14 and secondary control and data centers around the world. Based on the combination of
15 efforts on the part of VELCO, B.A.W., Stantec, T.J. Boyle & Associates, and Resource
16 Systems Group, the Project is at an approximately 60% level of architectural and
17 engineering design as of the date this Petition is being filed, but with the locations,
18 elements, and key features at a design level of detail for purposes of PUC Rule 5.400.

19 Q9. Please describe the exterior components of the Project.

20 A9. The Project's exterior features include the "Main Building" (i.e., the two-story,

1 approximately 18,000 sq. ft. operations building designed to blend into the agricultural
2 setting), and a back-up generator building to the immediate east of the Main Building,
3 along with mechanical equipment surrounded by a retaining wall located on the western
4 side of the Main Building.

5 The Main Building, associated generators and mechanical equipment will be protected by
6 an eight-foot tall, chain link security fence. Access to the site will be provided from
7 Route 17 by the existing driveway extending to a new parking area containing space for
8 two temporary work trailers, if additional office spaces are required. Please refer to the
9 site plan (**Exhibit Petitioner PWL-2**) and the architectural renderings (**Exhibit**
10 **Petitioner PWL-3**) for a visual depiction of the Project features and design.

11 New redundant and independent, three-phase electric distribution services with power
12 transformers (the “Distribution Improvements”) will be supplied by Green Mountain
13 Power Corporation (“GMP”). In addition, the site will be interconnected to the fiber
14 optic communication system via two redundant connections: both extended from the
15 existing VELCO New Haven substation across the Property.

16 Q10. Please describe the main design features selected to ensure that the facility would be
17 available for use in a man-made or natural event disaster.

18 A10. The engineering design and construction features incorporated into this Project were
19 specifically selected to make sure that the Facility is fully capable and operational when it
20 is most needed, i.e., a critical event that impacts the region or the state. These resiliency-

1 focused features—ranging from physical security systems to concrete reinforcements to
2 redundant energy sources—are described further below as well as in the confidential
3 affidavit being separately submitted to describe the interior and security features of the
4 Facility. VELCO and its consultants selected equipment, materials and technologies that
5 ensured the Facility would be as robust and resilient as feasible, and equipped with
6 redundant services to withstand potential disasters such that it could effectively respond
7 to and support emergency efforts, especially maintaining safe and reliable electric service
8 throughout Vermont and the region.

9 Q11. Please explain the decision to employ an agricultural design for the Main Building.

10 A11. Once the determination was made to locate the Project in New Haven, VELCO
11 committed to ensuring that the new facility would blend into the rural environment as
12 much as possible, without compromising the critical functions the Project is intended to
13 serve. The design also helps with building security by not drawing attention to the
14 Facility for passersby. The Project team also learned that the Town had been receptive to
15 the agricultural building design of an earlier proposed (but never built) non-VELCO
16 electric facility in New Haven, and decided that it would conceive of a similar design for
17 this Project. For these reasons, VELCO retained an architecture firm to combine the
18 necessary components of the facility with a design appropriate for a rural setting. The
19 aesthetic review and design elements are further described in Mr. Buscher's testimony
20 and Aesthetic Analysis Report (**Exhibit Petitioner MJB-2**), and the positive reaction
21 from the Town of New Haven to the proposed design is described in the section of my

1 testimony on public outreach, below.

2 Q12. Please provide additional detail regarding the Distribution Improvements.

3 A12. Several upgrades are required to the existing single-phase electric distribution lines along
4 Route 17 to provide two independent three-phase line extensions to the Project, without
5 affecting GMP and the wireline telecommunications providers presently collocated on
6 GMP's utility poles. Additionally, three power regulators and one capacitor bank will be
7 installed on the 9G4 Vergennes circuit to support this Project. These upgrades are being
8 undertaken by GMP contemporaneously with the CPG application. A site location map
9 depicting the preliminary distribution alignments was prepared by T.J. Boyle and is
10 included in **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-4**.

11 The design of the Distribution Improvements are not yet final, however it is expected that
12 it will involve in-kind pole replacements, guy wire installations, and re-spanning of some
13 sections of distribution lines to support the single-phase to three-phase upgrades.

14 Additionally, it is expected that each pole will require the addition of a crossarm to
15 support the additional phase wires. The lines to be upgraded are generally within or
16 adjacent to the VTrans rights-of-way for Route 7 and Route 17, and as such, the majority
17 of the work is expected to be completed from the road surface. Once the three-phase
18 power reaches the Property, the electric services will be undergrounded from Route 17
19 and extended across the VELCO Property to the Project. GMP will obtain any necessary
20 permits and authorizations prior to performing the Distribution Improvements.

1 Q13. Please describe the Project's electrical and thermal energy needs.

2 A13. The New Haven Operations Facility will be connected to electric system via two
3 independent and redundant distribution line extensions. Additionally, emergency power
4 will be provided by two independent back-up generators and two uninterruptable power
5 systems ("UPS"). VELCO will also install solar panels on the Main Building's rooftop
6 to offset electric usage: all of the electric generation will be consumed onsite as opposed
7 to through a net-metering arrangement.

8 Building heating, ventilation, and air conditioning ("HVAC") will be provided by an
9 innovative GeoXchange system that will utilize three deep ground-source wells for
10 heating and cooling of the entire facility. The heat reclaimed from the operation of the
11 electronic equipment in the Secondary Data Center on the lower level of the Main
12 Building will be the primary heat source for the building. Additionally, a redundant air-
13 cooled chiller system will also be installed to provide backup HVAC coverage, if needed.
14 No fossil fuel systems will be installed at this facility to meet the heating requirements.

15 Q14. Regarding the emergency generators, please discuss their general characteristics and fuel
16 source.

17 A14. The Generator Building will house two diesel-powered 1 MW emergency generators to
18 be used in the event that both of the GMP electric distribution services are unavailable.
19 Per NERC Reliability Standards BAL-005-1 (R3 and R5), the generators must be sized to
20 power the Secondary Data Center, the Backup Control Center, and all other critical
21 functions in the Main Building to ensure they have functionality for 99.5% of each year,

1 with no exceptions. To meet this functionality standard, the generators must be routinely
2 maintained and tested. The generators are redundant and fully independent, such that
3 only one would be used at any one time. The generators will be housed within a separate
4 building, located predominantly underground, to allow for ease of maintenance and
5 future replacement, to help limit noise from the units and to keep flammable fuels
6 separated from the Main Building.

7 Q15. In general terms, please describe the interior components of the Main Building.

8 A15. The purpose of the Main Building is to serve a number of VELCO's critical functions
9 and will include a Backup Control Center, a system operator training facility, a
10 Secondary Data Center, an emergency response center, and general conference and office
11 space intended to be used primarily during emergency situations for core business
12 functions, or as a convenience for those travelling to the Facility for operator training.
13 The space may also be used for utility-related meetings when not needed for emergency
14 purposes.

15 The emergency response center will provide an emergency work location in the event of
16 a partial or total evacuation of VELCO's Pinnacle Ridge Campus. This aspect of the
17 Facility is needed to ensure that key components of two VELCO operational plans are
18 met in such an event. The first plan is the Emergency Response Plan ("ERP"), which is
19 intended to be used when potential or actual events jeopardize VELCO's bulk
20 transmission or communication systems. The second plan is the Business Continuity
21 Plan ("BCP"), which identifies resource needs to perform key business processes in the

1 event of a qualifying business continuity event. The general conference space is intended
2 to serve multiple business functions for the organization as they may arise from time to
3 time.

4 The BCP and ERP, as well as the interior features of the floor plan and security elements
5 of the Facility, are addressed in my accompanying affidavit and confidential exhibits,
6 being submitted under seal to avoid compromise of the Main Building's security features.
7 *See* **CONFIDENTIAL Exhibits Petitioner PWL-14** (Security Affidavit), **PWL-15**
8 (Interior Floor Plan), **PWL-16** (BCP), and **PWL-17** (ERP).

9 Q16. Please explain any energy efficiency considerations being included in the Project's
10 design.

11 A16. The building design will incorporate energy efficiency and environmental sustainability
12 principles to the greatest extent economically feasible, consistent with VELCO's overall
13 commitment to sustainability. Examples include using a GeoXchange heating/cooling
14 system that will use ground source wells and heat exchangers to simultaneously heat and
15 cool the entire facility, variable frequency drives, energy efficient indoor lighting,
16 exterior lighting that reduces light pollution, monitoring systems to track energy
17 performance, use of low-emitting construction materials, designing the HVAC system to
18 comply with enhanced indoor air quality standards and use of low-flow faucets and
19 toilets. As noted above, the southern roof of the Main Building has been designed with
20 solar panels to allow for on-site power generation to be used entirely within the facility.
21 These design features, and others, have been included as a result of consultations with

1 personnel from Efficiency Vermont and GMP. Consistent with VELCO's vision of a
2 sustainable Vermont, these features of the building result in increased potential to achieve
3 U.S. Green Building Council Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design ("LEED")
4 certification. As I explain further below, VELCO expects to apply for LEED
5 certification from the U.S. Green Building Council if the Project is ultimately approved.

6 Q17. What is the intended occupancy of the Main Building and how was this determined?

7 A17. The Main Building is currently designed to provide temporary office space for
8 approximately 60 individuals. The occupancy was determined as part of the development
9 of VELCO's BCP to ensure continuity of all critical business operations if and when
10 required.

11 Q18. What arrangements have been made for security at the Project?

12 A18. Security measures at the site will be in general alignment with the equipment and
13 practices used at VELCO substation facilities, including fence detection, interior and
14 exterior cameras, and remote sensing and alarming equipment. The Main Building will
15 also be designed to accommodate the relocation of the security officers, currently housed
16 at the Pinnacle Ridge Campus in the event the BCP is activated. As stated previously,
17 other security features are described in my stand alone affidavit, which is being submitted
18 under seal to avoid a compromise of those features.

19 Q19. Has a fire suppression system been incorporated into the building design?

20 A19. Yes, waterless fire suppression systems have been included for the generators and the

1 Secondary Data Center. Final fire protection system design will be completed as part of
2 the final design effort, and will include consultation with state and local fire and code
3 officials.

4 **3. Construction Schedule and Impacts**

5 Q20. Please describe the Project schedule as well as the various phases of construction that will
6 be required to construct the Project.

7 A20. An overview of the current Project Milestone Schedule is provided as **Exhibit Petitioner**
8 **PWL-7**. We anticipate that construction of the Project will begin as soon as possible
9 after receiving the required approvals, presently assumed to be sometime by mid-July
10 2020. Currently, the estimated Project construction schedule extends from late summer
11 2020 through the second quarter of 2022.

12 VELCO seeks exemption from the standard CPG condition that requires acquisition of all
13 state and federal permits prior to the start of construction, and respectfully requests
14 permission to begin Project site work immediately after receiving the required USACE
15 Section 404 Approval and Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit described in the
16 prefiled testimony of Mr. Reed. These permits govern soil and resource impacts
17 associated with initial site preparations anticipated to commence during the first three
18 months of construction. Other Project permits, such as the Division of Fire Safety
19 Construction Permit, pertain to work performed during later stages of construction, and
20 are not applicable to initial site work for the Project. We ask that the Commission
21 condition later stages of construction upon the receipt of the other necessary permits.

1 Once the permits governing initial site work are received, land surveys and resource
2 flagging will move forward, along with installation of erosion prevention and
3 sedimentation controls, followed by limited clearing of vegetation. Once the site is
4 cleared, earthwork for the installation of the building foundation will occur and the
5 building shell will be erected, with the objective of constructing an enclosed building
6 before the winter of 2020/2021. Building “dress-out” will then begin over the winter and
7 continue into the summer of 2021, starting with the installation of interior walls,
8 plumbing, HVAC, and electrical components. After the major equipment and building
9 systems are installed, the detailed interior construction will continue with the installation
10 of the electrical and fiber optic systems. Lastly, the data servers and associated
11 operational equipment will be installed and the facility will be connected to the VELCO
12 fiber system, which will in turn allow for the equipment and systems testing and, lastly,
13 the final commissioning activities. Final site work and grading is expected to be
14 completed in the spring/summer of 2022, with the objective of achieving a completed,
15 fully-commissioned Main Building, Generator Building, and site before the winter of
16 2022/2023. After commissioning the Facility, obsolete equipment from the existing
17 backup center and secondary data center in West Rutland will be removed, reused, and/or
18 disposed of, consistent with requirements for electronic waste.

19 Q21. What are the implications if you do not meet this milestone schedule?

20 A21. Failure to achieve this construction schedule will likely have adverse impacts on the
21 Project commissioning dates and significant increases to the overall Project cost.

1 Consistent with Commission precedent, VELCO proposes that outdoor construction will
2 take place between 7:00 A.M. and 7:00 P.M. Monday through Friday, and between 8:00
3 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. on Saturdays. No outdoor construction activities will take place on
4 Sundays or state or federal holidays, though VELCO seeks to conduct activities on
5 Bennington Battle Day given (i) the short summer construction season, (ii) the
6 anticipated construction start, and (iii) that the holiday is not widely granted as a paid day
7 off for the workers on this Project. VELCO requests that interior work (e.g., electrical,
8 painting, and flooring, etc.) not be subject to these time constraints because these interior
9 activities will not impact neighboring landowners or the broader community.

10 Q22. Will the Project require blasting?

11 A22. Geotechnical surveys were performed in the summer of 2019 for the general Project area
12 around the Main Building and Generator Building to supplement existing geotechnical
13 information collected as part of the construction of the nearby New Haven substation.
14 Based on these survey results, blasting is not expected to be required for the Project. As
15 the actual need to remove rock is not completely known until the below-grade
16 construction occurs, the possibility of blasting cannot be ruled out entirely. If the Project
17 requires blasting, VELCO will adhere to its Rock Removal Specification, a copy of
18 which is provided as **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-5**. These Rock Removal Specifications
19 incorporate the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation Best Management
20 Practices for Blasting (“BMPs”).

1 Q23. What vegetation removal is required to complete construction?

2 A23. VELCO will need to remove approximately 1.25 acres of vegetation to construct the
3 Project. Cut trees and other vegetation will either be chipped and or cut and left to
4 decompose on site or removed for off-site disposal. A landscaping plan for aesthetic
5 mitigation through plantings and selected vegetation removal are detailed in the
6 testimony and exhibits provided by Mr. Buscher.

7 Q24. Will the Petitioner be using laydown areas outside of the Project area for material staging
8 and storage?

9 A24. No: all areas to be used for storage of material and equipment, as well as space for
10 temporary construction trailers and sanitary facilities, will be within the Project area, save
11 for other existing developed areas nearby (e.g., the New Haven substation yard).

12 Laydown areas will be situated on land that has been pre-assessed for environmental and
13 historic sites, as addressed in Mr. Reed's prefiled testimony and exhibits.

14 As part of an unrelated effort to achieve efficiencies, a separate material storage area will
15 be constructed on the site located southwest of the building, completely within the New
16 Haven Operations "Study Area". It is anticipated that this area will be completed prior to
17 commencement of the Project's construction, and this effort will include obtaining
18 necessary Act 250 and local permits. Depending on timing, this additional area may be
19 used for material storage or staging for this Project.

1 Q25. Are there any offsite areas being proposed for use for staging?

2 A25. No, no offsite properties are proposed to be used.

3 **4. Need for the Project: (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(2))**

4 Q26. In general terms, please describe the need for the New Haven Operations Facility.

5 A26. As explained more fully in the accompanying prefiled testimonies of Mr. Haas and Mr.
6 Nelson, electric transmission utilities such as VELCO are required to design, operate, and
7 maintain a transmission network according to national and regional reliability standards.
8 Under these standards—and specifically NERC EOP-008-1—VELCO is required to have
9 primary and backup operational functionality that can be operated independently. The
10 backup facility must be capable of coming online within two (2) hours after a loss of the
11 primary operations center. VELCO’s current backup facility at the West Rutland
12 substation is located too close to the existing MCC, such that a significant weather event
13 or other unforeseen circumstance affecting the Rutland area (e.g., an attack, explosion,
14 earthquake, or major accident) poses a high risk of impacting operations at both facilities.
15 The Project will address critical needs set forth in VELCO’s BCP, which is designed to
16 support business processes following a disruption resulting in security risks, supplier-
17 related complications, or other undefined events preventing the execution of normal
18 business processes at the MCC. The Project was designed with critical input on
19 transmission operations control center planning obtained through the North American
20 Transmission Forum (“NATF”), all to factor in experiences of other electric utilities
21 addressing NERC reliability requirements.

1 Q27. Please explain what factors led to selection of this specific site in New Haven.

2 A27. In 2014, VELCO identified the need to evaluate the company's emergency preparedness
3 and overall ability to continue ongoing operations. As part of this effort, improvements
4 were made at the Pinnacle Ridge Campus to improve data storage and management as
5 well as to address gaps in preparedness resources, such as a BCP. Concurrently, a plan
6 was initiated to develop a solution to provide an adequate facility to be used in the event
7 of a business continuity event, and to serve as a backup operations and secondary data
8 center. Through this effort, VELCO recognized that the existing backup control and
9 secondary data center facility, while operationally compliant, was not an ideal solution
10 for VELCO's operations if the MCC and data center at the Pinnacle Ridge Campus were
11 to become inoperable.

12 Thus, VELCO began the process to identify options and to develop criteria for a backup
13 control and secondary data center that would meet the company's increasing operational
14 requirements. VELCO determined that a co-located facility would address the company
15 needs in an effective manner, and several alternative sites were evaluated using a decision
16 matrix. A summary of the alternatives analysis is provided as **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-**
17 **6.**

18 Ultimately, VELCO's existing 110-acre New Haven property was determined to best
19 meet the requirements for the facility due to several factors, including its convenient
20 location near Route 7; distance from Rutland; nearby electric transmission and
21 communications facilities to allow for the interconnection of high-speed fiber optics; and

1 the overall suitability of the parcel including slope, constructability, and lack of hazards
2 such as floodplains.

3 **5. Project Cost (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(2))**

4 Q28. Please describe the approach for developing the Project cost estimate.

5 A28. The Project cost estimate was developed using the standard methodology that VELCO
6 has used in previous projects, which is based on ISO-NE Planning Procedure #4, a
7 regional document that serves as a guide on the development of estimates for utility
8 projects in New England. Our standard methodology starts with identifying the resources
9 required to plan, design and construct the previously described Project elements by
10 utilizing seven (7) resource categories to establish the total direct and indirect cost for
11 each Project element. The resource categories are material, labor, equipment, indirect,
12 applicable escalation, capital interest and contingency. In conformance with 6A and B of
13 the MOU for Docket 8385, VELCO is consulting with the PSD to review the project
14 costs estimate.

15 Q29. Please describe any special analysis to determine suitable options for meeting the energy
16 needs for the facility.

17 A29. A cost analysis was completed to determine the suitability of using geothermal and solar
18 systems as well as the fuel source for the backup generators. Ultimately, the
19 determination was made that these alternative elements could be effectively incorporated
20 into the Project design without compromising any of the key functions of the facility.

1 Q30. Please summarize the process used to develop the Project's direct and indirect costs.

2 A30. The direct costs were developed utilizing company cost data as well as cost information
3 provided by project consultants and design engineers. Where actual cost data was not
4 available, estimated cost data from vendors was utilized. Labor, material and equipment
5 costs were estimated using the preliminary design. The detailed line items for each
6 Project element were estimated into sub-categories following the Federal Energy
7 Regulatory Commission ("FERC") code chart of accounts. Developing the cost estimates
8 by FERC code enhances VELCO's ability to track costs over the life of the Project in a
9 manner consistent with the reporting format of actual costs as required by FERC. Also,
10 escalation costs can be more accurately calculated by applying forecasted values from the
11 Handy-Whitman cost index to the estimated costs by FERC code.

12 The indirect costs are the costs of the resources required to support the completion of the
13 Project and include: Engineering and Design, Operations, Planning, Communications,
14 Environmental Engineering, Archeological Studies, Noise Studies, Field Surveys, Impact
15 Mitigation, Aesthetic Impact, Legal Expenses, Regulatory Permitting and Filings,
16 Administrative Overheads, Mobilization and Demobilization, Project Management,
17 Construction Supervision, and Project Administration.

18 The estimated indirect costs of project support services are based on the number of
19 people and hours (level of effort) required to support the particular function as well as
20 outsourced consulting services for each resource category (e.g., archeology studies,
21 engineering and surveying, etc.).

1 Escalation costs were developed utilizing the scheduled spending plan and projected
2 Handy-Whitman Index and the Consumer Price Index (“CPI”).

3 Capital interest (indirect cost during construction) at an annual rate of approximately
4 3.25% was also included. The capital interest rate is typically based on VELCO’s credit
5 rating and is subject to change based on the financial market conditions.

6 Finally, the Project cost estimate also includes a contingency of 15 percent due to the
7 remaining design features to be finalized, and the uncertainty and risk associated with the
8 current level of Project definition.

9 Q31. What is the total cost estimate for the Project based on the various cost elements and
10 resource categories described?

11 A31. The total cost of the Project is estimated to be \$50.7M including contingency. The total
12 estimate is comprised of \$25.6M direct costs, \$15.1M indirect costs, \$2.6M in escalation,
13 \$1.2M in capital interest, and \$6.2M contingency. Please refer to **Exhibit Petitioner**
14 **PWL-8** for a cost summary by resource category and Project element.

15 Q32. How were risk elements and contingency funds addressed in the cost estimate?

16 A32. Consistent with standard project management practices that are recognized by
17 organizations such as the Project Management Institute (“PMI”), the contingency
18 allowance was included in the estimate to account for Project risks. These risk elements
19 include the Project duration; level of certainty regarding ground condition for below-
20 grade work; design unknowns; required aesthetic, environmental, and archeology

1 mitigation measures; volatility regarding escalation rate; and potential resource
2 constraints at the anticipated time of construction. As part of the execution of the Project,
3 cost risks will be routinely reviewed and tracked in a Project risk register. As indicated
4 above, a contingency of 15% was applied to the total estimated cost, based on the current
5 level of Project definition.

6 Q33. Please describe how the costs would be allocated among ratepayers in Vermont and New
7 England.

8 A33. Due to the nature of the facility, it would be classified as a “General Plant” by FERC, as
9 opposed to being eligible for Pool Transmission Facilities (“PTF”) treatment. Therefore,
10 it is expected that approximately 80% or about \$40.6M of the costs would be supported
11 on a New England-wide basis. Vermont will be responsible for approximately 20% or
12 about \$10.1M of the costs.

13 Due to the facility’s “General Plant” classification, the Project would not be presented at
14 the ISO-NE Reliability Committee (“RC”) because RC approval or a Transmission Cost
15 Allocation (“TCA”) submittal for regional cost treatment is not required; however,
16 VELCO did present the Project before the Vermont System Planning Committee
17 (“VSPC”) meeting on October 16, 2019 for informational purposes and to solicit
18 feedback. The VSPC expressed no concerns regarding the Project.

1 Q34. Please describe the review process used to ensure the accuracy of the Project's cost
2 estimate.

3 A34. As described earlier, the Project estimate was developed using VELCO's estimating
4 process, which aligns with the ISO-NE cost estimating procedure. The process relies on
5 the use of information of incurred or "actual" costs as a basis for estimating expected
6 project costs. Given the lack of cost information pertaining to building construction at
7 VELCO, B.A.W. Architecture was retained to generate an initial estimate. This effort
8 included consultation with regional service and material providers for relevant cost
9 information.

10 Once drafted by B.A.W., the estimate was provided to several companies with experience
11 as well as cost information associated with buildings similar to the proposed Facility.
12 This information was then reviewed by the VELCO estimator and incorporated into the
13 VELCO estimate included in **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-8**.

14 Q35. Please describe how costs will be managed on this project.

15 A35. As with other VELCO projects, engagements will be performed in accordance with the
16 company's established procurement process, which included competitive bids through
17 request for proposals ("RFPs"). In fact, the RFPs for the remaining architectural and
18 engineering design effort as well as construction management services were recently
19 completed and issued for bids. The design effort will include a review of the completed
20 60% design and completing the Project design for Issued-for-Construction drawings, all
21 with a focus to incorporate opportunities to reduce costs. The second RFP will develop

1 construction management strategies to seek efficiencies and incorporate value-added
2 engineering.

3 The Project financials will also be closely monitored by the VELCO Project Controls
4 Team, which reviews vendor invoices and overall vendor engagements, compiles and
5 analyses cost reports, and provides support for the Project Manager in the execution of
6 the Project estimate.

7 **6. Orderly Development and Public Outreach (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1))**

8 Q36. Will the Project unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region, with due
9 consideration having been given to the recommendations of the municipal and regional
10 planning commissions, the recommendations of the municipal legislative bodies, and the
11 land conservation measures contained in the plan of any affected municipality?

12 A36. No. The Project is consistent with the New Haven Town Plan (“Town Plan”) and the
13 Addison County Regional Plan (“Regional Plan”). Excerpts from the Town and Regional
14 Plans are provided as **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-9** and **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-10**,
15 respectively. The Project will not violate any land conservation measures contained in
16 the Town Plan or the Regional Plan, and will further the goals of the Regional Plan to
17 ensure that the region continues to benefit from reliable and safe electric service.
18 Regional Plan at 7-51.

19 As further summarized below, the Project team sought input from the Town of New
20 Haven while designing the Project. The proposed design is consistent with a number of
21 the Siting, Screening, and Performance Standards identified at page 74 of the Town Plan,

1 including:

- 2 • Satisfying the Town Plan’s aesthetic mitigation standards through use of an
3 agricultural design for the Main Building that harmonizes the Project with the
4 surrounding landscape and neighborhood (**Exhibit Petitioner MJB-2** (Aesthetic
5 Assessment Report));
- 6 • Designing the Project to meet the minimum setback requirements for the
7 applicable zoning district (**Exhibit Petitioner MJB-2** (Aesthetic Assessment
8 Report));
- 9 • Substantially adhering to the Town Plan’s noise standards (**Exhibit Petitioner**
10 **KHK-2** (Noise Impact Study));
- 11 • Engaging with municipal fire and public safety personal to discuss unique aspects
12 of the Project, in furtherance of the Town Plan’s health and safety standards; and
- 13 • Consulting with GMP regarding aesthetic impacts associated with the Distribution
14 Improvements in furtherance of the Town Plan’s electrical line standards (**Exhibit**
15 **Petitioner MJB-2** (Aesthetic Assessment Report)).

16 *See* Town Plan at 71-73, 79. While the Town Plan discourages energy projects on
17 primary agricultural soils, Mr. Reed’s testimony and accompanying Natural Resources
18 Assessment (**Exhibit Petitioner JTR-2**) explains how the proposed design has
19 minimized such impacts, as well as VELCO’s discussions with the Agency of
20 Agriculture as a means of addressing the Project’s impacts on primary agricultural soils.
21 The proposed roof-mounted solar panels are also consistent with the Town’s general

1 support for building-mounted systems “in all land use districts.” Town Plan at 77. The
2 subject parcel is not designated as a scenic resource in the Town Plan, nor does it
3 contribute to any noteworthy open-space views.

4 That being said, the Project site is located along a portion of Main Street/Route 17 that
5 the Town has designated as a scenic corridor. Town Plan at 91. Vistas from this scenic
6 corridor are considered scenic viewsheds. While the Town seeks to maintain and
7 preserve these scenic viewsheds, the Town Plan explains that “does not mean that
8 development within these viewsheds is prohibited; it means that development within
9 these viewsheds must be appropriately sited and scaled, and if necessary augmented with
10 visual mitigation such as landscaping in a naturalized style that harmonizes with the
11 hedgerows, forest blocks or other landscape features in which it is to be located.” Town
12 Plan at 93-94. The Project site is located within the Rural Planning Area. In relevant
13 part, the Town Plan states that development in this area “shall not be sited in prominently
14 visible locations on hillsides or ridgelines, and shall, at a minimum, utilize earth tone
15 colors and non- reflective materials on exterior surfaces of all structures, and must
16 minimize clearing of natural vegetation.” Town Plan at 108.

17 The Project as proposed meets the intent of the guidelines for development along the
18 scenic corridor and in the Rural Planning Area. As further explained in the Aesthetic
19 Analysis Report sponsored by Mr. Buscher (**Exhibit Petitioner MJB-2**), the Project is
20 appropriately sited and not proposed in a prominently visual location. Portions of the
21 Main Building and the Generator Building would be dug into the existing topography,

1 and the Project retains and utilizes surrounding hedgerows to screen Project components.
2 The agricultural design of the Main Building and proposed colors would help the Project
3 to harmonize with the character of the surrounding area.

4 As stated above, the Regional Plan supports capital investments in the electrical grid and
5 related infrastructure “to ensure the Region continues to enjoy reliable electric service
6 and opportunity for economic growth.” Regional Plan at 7-51. The most relevant
7 Standards contained in the Regional Plan are those that apply to substations given the
8 Project’s footprint and layout, as opposed to the transmission standards that apply to
9 linear projects. Regional Plan at 7-97. The Project parcel has several features that make
10 it a “good site” according to the Regional Plan that include its proximity to existing
11 commercial and industrial facilities (i.e., the VELCO substation, a VTrans facility, and
12 the Phoenix Feeds facility west of the Project). *See* Regional Plan at 7-97. The Regional
13 Plan also observes that “[r]ural structures like barns fit into the landscape because their
14 scale and mass generally do not impact large tracts of otherwise open land.” Regional
15 Plan at 7-97 to 7-98. Under this reasoning, the Project will fit into the landscape insofar
16 as it has been designed to resemble a traditional Vermont barn. The Project’s landscape
17 mitigation plan (see **Exhibit Petitioner MJB-2**) is also consistent with the “mitigation
18 methods” set forth in the Regional Plan at page 7-94.

19 Q37. Please describe VELCO’s public outreach efforts related to this Project.

20 A37. VELCO reached out to the local community in New Haven at the initial stages of the
21 Project to receive preliminary feedback. Once the Project’s need and site details were

1 further refined, VELCO distributed a 45-day Advance Notice describing the Project to
2 the abutting landowners, landowners within the broader community, the Town of New
3 Haven Select Board, the Town of New Haven Planning Commission, the Addison
4 County Regional Planning Commission, the Department of Public Service, the Agency of
5 Natural Resources, the Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets, and Vermont Division
6 of Historic Preservation.

7 VELCO Project staff met with members of the Town of New Haven Select Board
8 regarding the Project, with several concerns expressed about the aesthetics of the
9 building, which have been addressed. All stakeholders were invited to a public meeting
10 to provide face-to-face interaction for questions and feedback. The public meeting was
11 scheduled for the convenience of interested persons; nearly twenty members of the public
12 attended. The public has been offered other means of communicating with VELCO
13 including phone and email transmittals. The VELCO website also provides Project
14 information and provides a means of submitting requests for information via an on-line
15 contact form. Please see **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-11** for copies of documents shared
16 with the public during these outreach efforts, including the advance notice letter.

17 VELCO's public outreach efforts are summarized below:

- 18 • October 29, 2018: Met with representatives from Town of New Haven.
- 19 • August 19, 2019: Met with representatives from Town of New Haven.
- 20 • September 10, 2019: Met with representatives from Town of New Haven.
- 21 • September 18, 2019: Held a public informational meeting for the community.

- 1 • September 30, 2019: Met with Division of Fire Safety.
- 2 • October 7, 2019: Met with New Haven Development Review Board.

3 Additional public comment periods will take place concurrently with the Section 248
4 process as part of the collateral permitting efforts.

5 Q38. How did VELCO address the comments and input that were received from the public
6 outreach efforts?

7 A38. As noted above, VELCO met with members of the Town of New Haven Select Board on
8 three separate occasions starting in October of 2018, prior to VELCO's start of the
9 professional architectural and engineering design phase of this Project. Concerns raised
10 by Select Board members included the general appearance of the Main Building,
11 landscaping, potential noise that would be generated by the equipment supporting the
12 Project, and the preliminary schedule for construction activities.

13 To address these comments, VELCO purposefully located the Project away from Route
14 17 and Town Hill Road such that the Main Building will be located behind a natural
15 buffer. VELCO hired the landscape architecture firm T.J. Boyle to make specific siting
16 recommendations for the Project and supplemental landscaping. In order for this new
17 facility to fit into the local rural environment, the actual design of the building was
18 purposefully designed to look like a traditional, dark red matte finish barn typical of
19 Vermont as shown in the Project renderings, **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-3**. Additionally,
20 the Main Building was designed as a two-story facility to take advantage of the natural
21 topography and lay-of-the-land. In particular, the lower level of the facility was designed

1 to fit into the existing topography, thereby keeping the appearance of the building, as
2 viewed from Route 17, as a one-story barn.

3 VELCO also retained Resource Systems Group, Inc., an engineering firm from White
4 River Junction, to perform a noise assessment of the Project, including establishing
5 existing background sound levels and then modeling the planned equipment for
6 determining specific recommendations to limit noise impacts and meet the Town sound
7 requirements specified in the Town Plan. To address noise issues, VELCO also designed
8 the backup emergency generators to be entirely housed in a separate Generator Building
9 located primarily underground in the existing topography, with special equipment
10 installed to further lessen the noise impact. Additionally, VELCO has situated the
11 exterior mechanical equipment in a way that lessens the potential noise impact. Please
12 see the prefiled testimony and sound assessment (**Exhibit Petitioner KHK-2**) sponsored
13 by Mr. Kaliski for further description of the Project's sound mitigation elements.

14 Q39. You mentioned that VELCO met with the Town on two other occasions. What, if any,
15 other issues did the Town raise during those subsequent discussions?

16 A39. In subsequent meetings with members of the Selectboard, the issue of upgrading the
17 existing GMP electric distribution lines along Route 17 from single-phase to three-phase
18 was discussed, and a suggestion was made to VELCO to consider undergrounding the
19 new three phase distribution lines. VELCO met with GMP representatives and requested
20 that, in addition to the overhead configurations that GMP was designing, GMP also
21 design, determine the cost, and identify issues for burying both of the GMP distribution

1 lines (one GMP line originating from the west on Route 7, and the second GMP line
2 originating from the east at the Route 17 intersection with Town Hill Road).

3 GMP determined that the proposed upgrade of the two overhead three-phase distribution
4 lines from Route 7 and the Town Hill Road area would cost approximately \$200,000.

5 For the same GMP distribution lines to be redesigned underground for three-phase
6 service, the preliminary and partial cost for the GMP lines from those locations would be
7 approximately \$750,000, not including the additional costs to survey and acquire new
8 underground easements from each landowner, ledge and rock removal, environmental
9 services, concrete encasements, access-road improvements, etc. Additionally, the
10 equipment, materials and installation costs to have all overhead communications and
11 cable services buried to each residential and commercial property has not been estimated,
12 and is therefore not included in GMP's underground cost estimates.

13 Consequently, VELCO is proposing to bury only the distribution line extension serving
14 the Project from Route 17 across the Property to the Facility, based on the estimated costs
15 of undergrounding.

16 Q40. Did VELCO also evaluate connecting a distribution line from the New Haven substation
17 to the Main Building as a partial alternative to upgrading lines along Route 17?

18 A40. Yes, VELCO did consider the possibility of providing a line extension from the existing
19 VELCO substation, and determined that it is not a viable option, as the New Haven
20 substation is only a transmission-voltage facility that lacks distribution-voltage

1 equipment to service the proposed Project. Adding distribution equipment at the
2 substation would require a significant effort including new transformers, regulators, and
3 other equipment. Thus, VELCO determined that this option was not feasible.

4 Q41. Please discuss any other public comments received on the Project.

5 A41. Additional public comments were provided to VELCO from two public meetings that
6 were held in the Town of New Haven: one in September at a VELCO informational
7 meeting to introduce the Project and solicit public comments, and another at a meeting in
8 October with the Town of New Haven Development Review Board (“DRB”). The
9 comments addressed the potential to install photovoltaics (“PV”) on the southern exposed
10 roof of the Main Building, the level of coordination with the New Haven Fire Department
11 on the fire suppression design and access to the site, and incorporating energy efficiency
12 measures into the Main Building. A concern was also expressed regarding protection of
13 a shared septic system located on the Property. These comments are captured in the draft
14 DRB minutes included as **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-12**.

15 VELCO advised that PV was being considered and that the roof of the Main Building
16 was been designed to accept a PV system. VELCO has since decided to proceed with the
17 PV panels, and will consume all of the renewable generation on-site. VELCO has
18 incorporated energy efficiency measures throughout the building systems and equipment
19 that meet and/or exceed energy efficiency building codes and may support LEED
20 certification in recognition of the level of efficiency and sustainability incorporated into
21 the building design, construction, and operation.

1 VELCO also spoke with the New Haven Fire Department and met with the Vermont
2 Department of Fire Safety to address the design of various fire suppression systems
3 within the Main Building and the Generator Building, and for general access to the
4 facility.

5 VELCO will continue to seek and accept additional public comments received via its
6 website, phone calls, letters, and emails.

7 **7. System Stability and Reliability (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3))**

8 Q42. Will the Project adversely affect system stability and reliability?

9 A42. No. The Project will have no adverse impact on the stability and reliability of GMP's
10 distribution system or VELCO's bulk electric transmission system. As discussed above,
11 VELCO is coordinating with GMP to ensure that the Distribution Improvements allow
12 the safe and efficient operation of the Project. Moreover, the Project will enhance system
13 stability and reliability by virtue of its secondary data and operations facilities, which are
14 discussed further in the prefiled testimony of Mr. Haas and Mr. Nelson, respectively.

15 **8. Economic Benefits (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(4))**

16 Q43. Please describe in general terms the economic benefits of the Project for the State.

17 A43. The most obvious benefits of the Project stem from VELCO's continued ability to
18 operate and restore the grid during major weather events such as ice storms, severe wind
19 events and floods, as well as other serious incidents affecting transmission service. The
20 transmission system is critical for preventing potential economic loss to businesses
21 around Vermont caused by power outages. Vermonters depend on reliable electrical

1 service for continued profitability and employment and a major loss of transmission
2 service in any portion of the State can have profound consequences, particularly to the
3 industrial and commercial sectors.

4 Additionally, the Project will benefit the Addison County economy during the
5 construction period, insofar as supporting local restaurants, service stations, and retailers
6 while work is completed. Some of this benefit will continue post-construction during
7 periods when the Project is in use for training, conferences, and during activation
8 consistent with the BCP.

9 Q44. What will be the tax benefit to the Town of New Haven and the State of Vermont as a
10 result of the facility?

11 A44. Based on property taxation, the Project is expected to generate hundreds of thousands of
12 dollars in annual tax revenue to the municipality and the State.

13 **9. Transportation Systems and Traffic (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5))**

14 Q45. Will the Project cause unreasonable congestion or unsafe conditions with respect to the
15 use of highways or other means of vehicular transportation existing or proposed?

16 A45. The Project poses no long-term traffic impacts in the Town of New Haven. VELCO
17 anticipates only minor, short duration traffic impacts, if any, due to deliveries of
18 equipment and material to the Project site during the construction period. Such deliveries
19 will use existing roads with vehicles that are commonly used on public roads. During
20 delivery of any large equipment, VELCO will employ the services of traffic control
21 personnel to manage traffic flow. VELCO will obtain all required highway permits

1 associated with the work and deliveries.

2 Q46. Have municipal and/or state transportation authorities reviewed the plans for the Facility?

3 A46. Yes, both the Road Commissioner of New Haven and the staff at VTrans have reviewed
4 the Project plans. The Town Road Commissioner expressed that the only issue was raised
5 by the Fire Department regarding access to the facility and this has been addressed and
6 will be further coordinated directly with the Fire Department as the Project progresses.
7 VELCO also discussed the proposed project with staff at VTrans, who determined that
8 the traffic associated with the Project would not justify a traffic impact study and that the
9 Project will not require an access permit. A copy of the VTrans determination is
10 provided as **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-13**.

11 Q47. Describe any measures being taken to ensure public safety on the affected roadways and
12 railways during construction.

13 A47. As previously stated, most of the construction equipment activity will occur entirely on
14 the property, thereby minimizing impacts on Route 17 and nearby roadways. For those
15 times where there is activity occurring on Route 17 (e.g., during delivery of any large
16 equipment to the site), VELCO will employ the services of traffic control personnel to
17 manage traffic flow and enable emergency response vehicles to get to and from where
18 they need to go. While not expected, VELCO will also provide advance notice to the
19 Town of any potentially long interruptions to traffic. There will be extensive (24/7/365)
20 monitoring, alerting, and reporting systems installed at the Project site.

1 Q48. Are any airports, airways, or waterways affected by the Project?

2 A48. No, none of these transportation systems is located in this area of New Haven.

3 **10. Educational and Municipal Services (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5))**

4 Q49. Will the Project have any impact on educational and/or municipal services?

5 A49. No. With respect to educational services, the Project will not add any new students to the
6 affected municipality. Thus, the Project will not place an unreasonable burden on the
7 ability of a municipality to provide educational services because the Project will not
8 require or affect educational services. Municipal water supply and wastewater systems
9 will not be affected as the Project will rely on its own well and its own septic. Nor will
10 the Project place additional demands on municipal fire protection, police protection,
11 rescue service, road maintenance, or solid waste disposal. Upon the completion of the
12 Project, VELCO will review the building layout with municipal fire safety personnel.
13 The Project will also result in a positive impact on these services through the additional
14 tax revenue VELCO will pay to the Town of New Haven.

15 **11. Development Affecting Public Investments (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5))**

16 Q50. What impact, if any, will the Project have on public investments in any public resources?

17 A50. Generally speaking, the Project will not unnecessarily or unreasonably endanger any
18 public or quasi-public investment in any facility, service, or lands, or materially
19 jeopardize or interfere with the function, efficiency or safety of, or the public's use or
20 enjoyment of, or access to any facility, service or lands. More broadly, the Project
21 protects the Vermont ratepayers' investments in VELCO's transmission and data assets,

1 by ensuring the safe, effective operation of the electric transmission system.

2 **12. Public Health and Safety (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(5))**

3 Q51. Will the Project have any adverse effects on the health, safety, or welfare of the public or
4 adjoining landowners?

5 A51. No, the Project will not endanger the public or adjoining landowners. VELCO has and
6 will continue to design and will ultimately construct the Project in accordance with state
7 and federal codes. VELCO will adhere to prudent utility and building construction
8 practices throughout the construction phase. Access to the site will be controlled during
9 and after construction to protect against unauthorized entry. As set forth in my affidavit
10 being filed under seal, the Project has been designed with multiple security elements to
11 reduce risks associated with unauthorized entry or attacks.

12 Once completed, VELCO will operate and maintain all components of the Project in the
13 same, safe manner that the company operates and maintains all of its facilities.

14 **13. Least-Cost Integrated Resource Plan (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(6))**

15 Q52. Is the Project consistent with the principles for resource selection generally expressed
16 least-cost integrated planning?

17 A52. Yes. VELCO does not have an integrated resource plan, but produces a long-range
18 transmission plan every three years, the most recent of which is the *2018 Vermont Long-*
19 *Range Transmission Plan*. Notably, this Plan only covers projects that address

1 transmission system reliability deficiencies. *See* Long-Range Plan at 9.¹ For instance,
2 the Plan is not intended to include projects aimed at maintaining existing infrastructure
3 and those needed to maintain and operate the existing system. Consequently, the
4 proposed Project—which does not directly address transmission system reliability
5 deficiencies—is not the sort of improvement that falls within the scope of the Long-
6 Range Plan, and it is nowhere identified in the plan. Nevertheless, VELCO still brought
7 the Project before the VSPC to share for informational purposes. And as detailed above
8 and on **Exhibit Petitioner PWL-6**, VELCO evaluated various alternatives to the
9 proposed Project, and the Project represents the most prudent and least-cost option to
10 address the critical functions that it will serve.

11 **14. Twenty Year Electrical Plan (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(7))**

12 Q53. Is the Project consistent with Vermont’s Comprehensive Energy Plan?

13 A53. Yes. Vermont’s 2016 Comprehensive Energy Plan (“CEP”) stresses that the state must
14 plan and prepare for electric power supply emergencies, noting the recent significant
15 weather events such as Tropical Storm Irene and recent ice storms serve as sobering
16 reminders. To that end, the CEP recommends, among other items, designing resiliency
17 into critical infrastructure, training key personnel to implement energy emergency
18 response plans, and developing plans for coordinated restoration efforts and enhanced

¹ An electronic copy of VELCO’s *Long-Range Plan* can be accessed here: <https://www.velco.com/our-work/planning/long-range-plan>.

1 situational awareness. See CEP at 271-74.² More broadly, the CEP strives for the
2 protection of public safety, preservation of the environment, and least cost planning. The
3 Project strikes the proper balance between these objectives, and directly advances the
4 emergency planning themes in the CEP. VELCO is asking the Department of Public
5 Service for a determination under 30 V.S.A. § 202(f) that the Project is consistent with
6 the 20-Year Plan.

7 **15. Waste to Energy Facility (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(9))**

8 Q54. Is there any component of the Project that could constitute a waste-to-energy facility?

9 A54. No, this criterion does not apply to the Project.

10 **16. Existing or Planned Transmission Facilities (30 V.S.A. §248(b)(10))**

11 Q55. Can the Project be served economically by existing or planned transmission facilities
12 without undue adverse effect on Vermont utilities or customers?

13 A55. Yes. The proposed Project consists of a facility that will enhance operational efficiency,
14 ensure continued operation of the grid during an emergency event, and allow for
15 emergency response and business continuity efforts. The distribution line extension
16 upgrades GMP is providing to the Project, together with the fiber optic line extensions
17 from the New Haven substation, can be served by existing transmission facilities with no
18 impact on utilities or customers.

² An electronic copy of the CEP can be accessed here: https://publicservice.vermont.gov/publications-resources/publications/energy_plan

1 **17. Conclusion**

2 Q56. In your professional opinion, is the New Haven Operations Facility consistent with the
3 general good of the State?

4 A56. Yes. As stated above, this Project will support VELCO's responsibility to reliably and
5 safely transmit and maintain electric power within Vermont and between neighboring
6 transmission systems, for use within the state, a duty that was assigned to VELCO
7 through its founding CPG (Docket 2735). Moreover, the Project represents the
8 culmination of years of planning, third-party consultation, and research to address
9 vulnerabilities for continued safe operation of the bulk electric transmission system and
10 the corresponding data and communications systems needed for operations. The Project
11 takes into account both the expanding needs of the company and increased federal
12 compliance requirements, as well as the diversity of potential natural- and man-made
13 threats posed to the Pinnacle Ridge Campus. The Project accomplishes this with a
14 building situated in a largely undisturbed rural setting, one which for all intents and
15 purposes will appear to most passersby as a one-story red barn off of a quiet country
16 road.

17 Q57. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?

18 A57. Yes, it does.