Natural Resources Assessment Report St. Johnsbury Substation Project

St. Johnsbury, Vermont

Prepared for: VELCO

Prepared by: Arrowwood Environmental, LLC

October 24, 2023



Table of Contents

1.0	Summary Findings	2
2.0	Introduction	3
3.0	Project Description	4
3.1	Project Assessment Area	5
3.2	Construction Activity	6
3.3	Permits and Approvals	6
4.0	Landscape Context	7
5.0	Outstanding Resource Waters (10 V.S.A. § 1424a (d))	7
6.0	Greenhouse Gas Impacts (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5))	7
7.0	Water and Air Pollution (§ 6086) (a)(1))	7
8.0	Headwaters (§ 6086) (a)(1)(A))	8
9.0	Waste Disposal (§ 6086)(a)(1)(B))	8
10.0	Water Conservation (§ 6086)(a)(1)(C))	9
11.0	Floodways (§ 6086)(a)(1)(D))	9
12.0	Streams (§ 6086)(a)(1)(E))1	.0
13.0	Shorelines (§ 6086 (a)(1)(F)) 1	.2
14.0	Wetlands (§ 6086 (a)(1)(G)) 1	.2
15.0	Water Supply (§ 6086 (a)(2) and (3)) 1	.3
16.0	Soil Erosion (§ 6086 (a)(4)) 1	.4
16.1	Soils Types 1	.4
16.2	Soil Erosion 1	.5
17.0	Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas (§ 6086(a)(8)), Necessary Wildlife Habitat an	ıd
	Endangered Species (§ 6086(a)(8)(A)) 1	6
17.1	Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas (RINA) 1	6
17.2	Necessary Wildlife Habitat 1	.7
17.2	.1 White-tailed Deer Wintering Habitats 1	7
17.2	.2 Black Bear Habitat 1	.7
17.2	.3 Grassland Bird Habitat 1	.7
17.3	RTE Species 1	.8
17.3	.1 RTE Animal Species 1	.8
17.3	.2 RTE Plant Species 1	.8
18.0	Primary Agricultural Soils (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(9)(B)) 1	.8
18.1	Soil Types 1	.8
18.2	Primary Agricultural Soils in the Project Area 1	.9
19.0	References	20

Attachments

Attachment 1:	Streams/Wetlands Resources
Attachment 2:	Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species/Natural Communities/Necessary
	Wildlife Habitat Areas
Attachment 3.	Rare Plant Inventory Species List
Attachment 4.	Wetland Data Forms and Wetland Functions and Values Assessment Forms
Table 1.	Wetland Summary Table

1.0 Summary Findings

This Natural Resource Assessment Report and the enclosed attachments describe the results of Arrowwood Environmental LLCs (AE) natural resource investigation on behalf of Vermont Transco, LLC and Vermont Electric Power Company (collectively referred to as "VELCO") for its proposed St. Johnsbury Substation improvement project (Project) in St. Johnsbury, Vermont. This report addresses each of the criteria specified in 30 V.S.A. § 248(b): 10 V.S.A. §1424a(d) and §6086(a)(1) through (9) and greenhouse gas impacts. AE concludes that the Project will not have an undue adverse effect upon Section 248(b)(5) criteria with implementation of avoidance and minimization measures, application of VELCO's Environmental Best Management Practices (BMPs), and compliance with ancillary environmental permit conditions. Summary findings are provided for each criteria:

- Outstanding Resource Waters (ORW) (10 V.S.A. § 1424a(d)): There are no waters which intersect the Project area (area of potential impact, or Project footprint) or are near the Project area that have been designated as an ORW.
- Greenhouse Gas Impacts (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)): The Project is not anticipated to have any impact on greenhouse gas emissions. The limited, temporary emissions associated with construction-related vehicles and equipment are not anticipated to have any impact on greenhouse gas emissions.
- Water and Air Pollution (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)): The Project does not propose any facilities that will generate air pollution. Temporary dust resulting from construction activities will be managed in accordance with VELCO's Environmental Guidance Manual (VEGM), and the Vermont Standards & Specifications for Erosion Prevention & Sediment Control, and any associated permits.
- Headwaters (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(A)): The Project is not located in a headwaters. The Project will be constructed in accordance with the practices and standards outlined in the VEGM, VELCO's Transmission Vegetation Management Plan, a Project-specific Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (EPSC) (as part of Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit coverage), and VELCO's site-specific Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan (SPCC Plan).
- Waste Disposal (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(B)): The Project is expected to involve limited waste disposal and hazardous waste storage and disposal and will comply with all state and federal regulations regarding the handling and disposal of waste. The Project will be constructed in accordance with the practices and standards outlined in VELCO's site-specific Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan (SPCC Plan).
- Water Conservation (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(C)): Water use associated with the Project is expected to be minimal. The new control building will utilize low flow toilet and fixtures for water conservation.
- Floodways (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D)): The Project area is not located within a 100year Flood Zone Area and will not restrict or divert the flow of floodwaters or significantly increase the peak discharge of a river or stream within or downstream from the area of development. The Project area is not located within a river corridor.
- Streams (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(E)): The Project access is the existing substation gravel road. Improvements to the existing road will involve upgrading and widening, and culvert replacement. The proposed design, which given the existing site constraints, avoids and minimizes impacts to streams and riparian zone resources to the extent practicable.

- Shorelines (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(F)): There are no rivers, lakes, reservoirs, or large, permanent ponds that are located within the Project area. The closest shoreline to the Project area is that of the Moose River, several hundred feet distant.
- Wetlands (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(G)): The Project involves impacts to a Class 2 wetland and associated 50' buffer. VELCO will obtain a Vermont Wetlands Individual Permit. The proposed design, which given the existing site constraints, avoids and minimizes impacts to wetland resources to the extent practicable.
- Water Supply (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(2) and (3)): VELCO is currently in the evaluation and design phase of its water and wastewater system connections for the new control building and will obtain and comply with a Wastewater System and Potable Water Supply permit from the VT ANR for the Project's engineered design and associated connections. It is expected that the existing municipal water supply will continue to meet the limited water supply demand associated with the operation of the Project without causing a burden on existing water supplies.
- Soil Erosion (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(4)): The Project will require a VT DEC Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit. VELCO will develop and adhere to a detailed EPSC plan for the Project to facilitate compliance and proper implementation of stormwater BMPs during construction.
- Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas (10 V.S.A § 6086 (a)(8)): The Project area does not contain significant natural communities and is not considered a rare and irreplaceable natural area (RINA).
- Necessary Wildlife Habitat and Endangered Species (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(8)(A)): The Project area was assessed for Deer Wintering Areas (DWA), Black Bear Habitat and Grassland bird habitat. Likely deer wintering habitat was identified to the north of the Project area. The proposed Project is not expected to result in any direct or indirect impacts to the identified DWA at the site, as there is an adequate buffer distance both horizontally and vertically between the Project activities and DWA. There are no rare, threatened or endangered (RTE) or uncommon plant species within the Project area. This Project involves a Federal nexus with the Section 404 US Army Corps of Engineers permit. VELCO will develop appropriate avoidance, minimization or mitigation measures during the wetland permitting process as necessary to avoid and/or mitigate significant adverse impacts to the federally endangered Northern Long Eared Bat.
- Primary Agricultural Soils (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(9)(B): There are Statewide (b) Primary Agricultural Soils ("PAS") within the Project area. There are no areas within the mapped PAS currently used for agriculture, and the surrounding slope and proximity to dense utility infrastructure largely precludes agricultural use of the land. There will be both temporary and permanent impacts to PAS as a result of the Project. The Agency of Agriculture Food and Markets ("AAFM") generally requires mitigation for permanent impacts to PAS when mitigation totals exceed two acres. VELCO will coordinate with AAFM to implement any necessary mitigation.

2.0 Introduction

On behalf of VELCO, AE has prepared this Natural Resources Assessment Report for the Project. This report summarizes the results of AE's natural resource review of the Project Assessment Area (PAA) as depicted in Figure 1 below.

As part of the review, AE evaluated the potential effect of the Project on water quality and the natural environment in accordance with Section 248(b)(5) of Title 30, Vermont Statutes Annotated (V.S.A), which provides in relevant part that a transmission facility should not have an undue adverse effect on air and water purity, the natural environment, the use of natural resources, or public health and safety, with due consideration having been given to, but not limited to, the following environmental criteria:

- Outstanding Resource Waters (10 V.S.A. § 1424a(d))
- Greenhouse Gas Impacts (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5))
- Water and Air Pollution (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1))
- Headwaters (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(A))
- Waste Disposal (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(B))
- \circ Water Conservation (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(C))
- o Floodways (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D))
- Streams (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(E))
- Shorelines (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(F))
- Wetlands (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(1)(G))
- Water Supply (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(2) and (3))
- Soil Erosion (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(4))
- Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas (10 V.S.A § 6086 (a)(8))
- Necessary Wildlife Habitat and Endangered Species (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(8)(A))
- Primary Agricultural Soils (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(9)(B)

The development of this natural resource assessment was based on review of applicable Vermont environmental standards and geographic information system (GIS) data available from the Vermont Center for Geographic Information (VCGI) and the Vermont Natural Resources Atlas. In addition, field data collected during natural resource field surveys in May and June 2023 were evaluated and incorporated into this report. AE has also consulted with personnel from the ANR and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers related to the identification of wetland and stream resources within the PAA.

The following sections describe the natural resources identified in the Project Assessment Area and address potential effects of the Project on those environmental criteria listed above.

3.0 **Project Description**

The VELCO St. Johnsbury Substation was originally built in 1972, with various modifications and improvements occurring over the subsequent nearly fifty years of service. VELCO conducted a condition assessment of the Substation and identified the need to replace some of the equipment due to condition. VELCO proposes to construct and operate the following major components at the St. Johnsbury Substation:

• Replace the existing 220 circuit switcher with a new K220 SF gas circuit breaker.

• Replace the existing control building with a new larger control building that can accommodate the protection and control system, redundant AC and DC station services, communication equipment, and security systems. The new control building will be located on the southwest side of the substation.

- Expand the fence to accommodate the new control building, facilitate construction, and to improve access to equipment for maintenance.
- Reconstruct and widen driveway to 20 feet with turn-around.
- Improve site drainage.
- Perform tree clearing to accommodate the temporary infrastructure, temporary substation/construction area support area, expanded substation yard and driveway improvements.
- Install landscape mitigation.
- Install a temporary substation and associated temporary driveway, poles and conductors, to maintain electrical transmission for the Project's duration.

3.1 Project Assessment Area

The Project is located at 397 Higgins Hill Road in St. Johnsbury, Vermont.



Figure 1. Site Location and Project Assessment Area (PAA)

The PAA includes approximately 40 acres, including the Project parcel and additional lands as depicted in Figure 2 below. The PAA was reviewed for the natural resources assessment.



Figure 2. Project Parcel and PAA

3.2 Construction Activity

The Project will be constructed in compliance with applicable state and federal regulations, guidelines, and standards, and the specific requirements of any necessary permits. The general construction sequence and procedures for the substation construction include:

Substation Construction Sequence:

- Site survey
- Vegetation clearing, where necessary
- Access road construction and/or maintenance
- Site grading and foundation construction
- Assemble and erect substation equipment
- Connect conductors to equipment
- Restoration and re-vegetation
- Testing and commissioning

3.3 Permits and Approvals

Construction of the Project will require the following ancillary environmental permits:

- State of Vermont Wetlands Permit
- Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit
- Section 404 US Army Corps of Engineers permit
- Wastewater and Potable Water Supply Permit

4.0 Landscape Context

Ecologically, the PAA is within the Northern Vermont Piedmont biophysical region of the state (Thompson, Sorenson and Zaino, 2019). The PAA is located at approximately 850 feet above mean sea level according to U.S. Geologic Survey ("USGS") topographic data with the PAA generally draining to the north toward the Moose River. The mapped bedrock that is underlying the PAA is of the metasedimentary rock class with quartzite and phyllite rock types from the Gile Mountain Formation. (Ratcliffe et al. 2011). Soils within the PAA are characterized as silt and sandy loams (NRCS Soil Survey). The PAA consists of open field vegetation, mixed forests and numerous wetlands. The forests consist of White Pine-Northern Hardwood and Hemlock-Northern Hardwood Forests. The wetlands consist of Northern Hardwood Seepage Forests and Hemlock-Balsam Fir-Black Ash Seepage Swamps.

5.0 Outstanding Resource Waters (10 V.S.A. § 1424a (d))

The Vermont Water Resources Panel designates ORWs. Four waterways have been listed as ORWs: Batten Kill River in towns of East Dorset and Arlington; Pike's Falls/Ball Mountain in the town of Jamaica; Poultney River in the towns of Poultney and Fair Haven; and Great Falls, Ompompanoosuc in the town of Thetford.

There are no waters which intersect the PAA or are near the PAA that have been designated as an ORW. Therefore, the Project will have no undue, adverse impacts on ORWs.

6.0 Greenhouse Gas Impacts (30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5))

The Project is not anticipated to have any impact on greenhouse gas emissions. Beyond the limited, temporary emissions associated with construction-related gasoline- and diesel-powered vehicles and equipment, the Project is not anticipated to have any impact on greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, the new sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) circuit breaker to be installed at the substation is a sealed unit that is not anticipated to result in the emission of SF6 gas. As such, there will be no undue, adverse effect associated with greenhouse gas emissions associated with the proposed Project.

7.0 Water and Air Pollution (§ 6086) (a)(1))

The Project will not contribute to water pollution. Sections 7 through 15 discuss specific water resources.

The proposed Project does not propose any facilities that will generate air pollution and will not be subject to an air pollution permit. During the Project's construction phase, work will result in minor air emissions involving brief discharges of dust generated by general construction activities. Persistent dust resulting from construction activities associated with the Project will be managed as necessary in accordance with VELCO's VEGM and the Vermont Standards & Specifications for Erosion Prevention & Sediment Control, such as watering access roads or applying calcium chloride. The Project will not contribute to air pollution or result in any undue air pollution as a result of construction or post-construction activities.

8.0 Headwaters (§ 6086) (a)(1)(A))

Vermont Act 250 Criterion 1(A) (Headwaters) defines headwaters as land not devoted to intensive development and that are:

- (i) headwaters or watersheds characterized by steep slopes and shallow soils; or
- (ii) drainage areas of 20 square miles or less; or
- (iii) above 1,500 feet elevations; or
- (iv) watersheds of public water supplies designated by the VT Water Supply Division; or
- (v) areas supplying significant amounts of recharge waters to aquifers.

The PAA is characterized by deep soils, moderate slopes averaging less than 20% across the PAA, and is situated below 1500' adjacent to the Moose River with a watershed area greater than 20 square miles. Based on review of the ANR Atlas, there are no public water supplies or associated source protection areas (SPA) within or adjacent to the PAA. There are no ground water SPA within or adjacent to the PAA. The closest SPA is the St Johnsbury Water System surface water SPA approximately 1.5 miles to the southeast. Therefore, the PAA is not located within headwaters as defined above.

The Project will be constructed in accordance with the practices and standards outlined in VELCO's VEGM, VELCO's Transmission Vegetation Management Plan, a Project-specific EPSC Plan (as part of Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit coverage), and VELCO's site-specific SPCC Plan.

The proposed Project will not result in a reduction of the quality of ground or surface waters in the area. For these reasons, we conclude that the Project will have no undue, adverse impact on headwater areas.

9.0 Waste Disposal (§ 6086)(a)(1)(B))

The Project will not require nor involve the injection of any waste materials or any harmful or toxic substances into groundwater or wells. The Project is expected to involve limited waste disposal and hazardous waste storage and disposal and will comply with all state and federal regulations regarding the handling and disposal of waste. Specifically, VELCO will dispose of solid waste, construction debris, or waste that cannot be reused or recycled in accordance with the applicable VT Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) waste management rules, BMPs, and VELCO's substation decommissioning plan, where applicable. The site-specific SPCC Plan includes spill control and response measures in the event of a release of oil and/or hazardous material and specifies secondary containment systems that are available. Spill response plans are also outlined in the VEGM to ensure the contractor is properly trained in spill response, clean up and containment, environmental quality sampling, and disposal.

Metal equipment such as structure steel, chain link fence, disconnect switches, and the control building will be recycled as scrap metal. Decommissioned materials from the control building will be disposed of as standard construction and demolition debris. The protection and control systems generally consist of microprocessor, solid state, or electric mechanical relays, which constitute recyclable material and/or e-waste. Replaced or decommissioned relays will be disposed of according to their material makeup in compliance with applicable waste disposal

rules and regulations. Smaller oil-filled equipment, such as instrument voltage transformers and bushings, will be handled by a licensed commercial entity. The Project will involve limited hazardous material storage for equipment refueling during construction and will comply with all state and federal regulations regarding handling and disposal of hazardous materials. The sanitary facilities installed will interconnect with VELCO's existing substation wastewater system. A wastewater permit will be obtained, if necessary, for the interconnection. VELCO will dispose of sanitary waste during construction by obtaining and using portable toilet(s).

VELCO will retain the passive secondary containment system for the single transformer that is to remain onside as part of the proposed Project activities.

The implementation and adherence to the above-mentioned criteria will ensure that proper waste disposal practices are performed during the construction and operation of the Project. As such, the Project will not have any undue adverse impacts relating to waste disposal.

10.0 Water Conservation (§ 6086)(a)(1)(C))

VELCO will install a new bathroom in the new proposed control building. The new facility will utilize low flow toilet and fixtures for water conservation. Water may be used onsite during the Project for several activities, which may include dust control, certain aspects of restoration, and watering aesthetic mitigation plantings. Water for this use is expected to be limited and temporary in nature. Water use associated with the overall Project is expected to be minimal, and thus, there will be no undue adverse effect to water conservation.

11.0 Floodways (§ 6086)(a)(1)(D))

AE reviewed the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map Database (DFIRM) and the VT ANR's Flood Ready Atlas to identify floodways or floodway fringes in the PAA. AE also reviewed ANR's river corridor data layer on the VT ANR's Flood Ready Atlas.

A portion of the PAA is located within a 100-year Flood Zone Area (zone A2) as shown on the figure below. There are mapped river corridors associated with the Moose River in the PAA.



Figure 3. FEMA Floodways and ANR River Corridors in the PAA

The Project is not located within a 100-year Flood Zone Area and will not restrict or divert the flow of floodwaters or significantly increase the peak discharge of a river or stream within or downstream from the area of development. The nearest Project element is more than 600' away from and over 100' in elevation higher than the mapped floodplain. The mapped river corridor for the Moose River in the northwestern extent of the PAA is also approximately 610' distant from the nearest Project work activity. The Project's proposed site drainage improvements will not significantly alter existing drainage characteristics with respect to drainage area contributions and flows. The Project will not have any undue, adverse impacts on floodways or river corridors, nor will the Project endanger the health, safety, and welfare of the public or riparian owners as it relates to flood events or fluvial erosion.

12.0 Streams (§ 6086)(a)(1)(E))

The stream assessment involved both a remote review of the USGS topographic map, Vermont Hydrography Dataset (streams, rivers, and waterbodies), high resolution LiDAR derived topography, and field investigation.

AE delineated and mapped streams in the PAA and characterized their physical and natural conditions. AE conducted surveys for stream resources using the following definition of a stream as per the VT ANR Guidance for Agency Act 250 and Section 248 Comments Regarding Riparian Buffers (2005):

A stream has a channel that periodically or continuously contain moving water, has a defined bed, and has banks that serve to confine water at low to moderate flows. Streams include intermittent streams that have a defined channel and evidence of sediment transport, even if such stream does

not have surface water flow throughout the year and/or throughout the channel. For the purpose of this guidance, constructed drainageways including water bars, swales, and roadside ditches, are not considered streams.

AE identified and mapped several stream sections within an overall network that flows northerly to the Moose River. The intermittent headwater stream segments, S-SJ-1, S-SJ-2 and S-SJ-3 flow into S-SJ-4/S-SJ-5 at which point the stream flow regime is perennial to the Moose River. Three intermittent streams (S-SJ-8, S-SJ-9 and S-SJ-10) are located within the northern portion of the PAA. All the streams flow in a northerly direction to the Moose River. The data was compiled and transferred to the geo-referenced resource map drawing in Attachment 1. Summary stream data is provided in the following table.

Stream ID	Flow	Bed Material	Bank	Bank Full	Ave. OHW	Rosgen
	Regime		Height	Depth		Class
S-SJ-1	Intermittent	Course gravel	0.8'	0.8'	1.5'	A4
S-SJ2	Intermittent	Silt	1.8'	0.8'	2.2'	A6
S-SJ-3	Intermittent	Fine gravel	0.6'	0.6'	1'	A4
S-SJ-4	Perennial	Cobble	0.8'	1.4'	11'	A3
S-SJ-5	Perennial	Cobble	1'	1.2'	9'	A3
S-SJ-8	Intermittent	Silt/Topsoil	0.8'	1.3'	3'	A6
S-SJ-9	Intermittent	Sand	0.6'	0.7'	1.5'	A5
S-SJ-10	Intermittent	Sand	0.4'	0.7'	1.5'	A5

Table 1. Stream Resource Summary Dat	Fable 1. Str	eam Resour	ce Summary	Data
--------------------------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------

Based on review of the ANR Atlas, no impaired waters are located within the PAA. The PAA is located within a watershed included on the 303(d) list of impaired watersheds. The pollutant of concern is E. Coli and associated with the St. Johnsbury WWTR Collection System. Portions of the Passumpsic and Lower Sleepers River in St. Johnsbury have been impacted. The Project is located in the Moose River subwatershed.

One stream (S-SJ-1) is located within the direct Project footprint. The Project access will utilize the existing gravel road to the existing substation. The road will be expanded and upgraded and existing 24" culvert replaced. The driveway will be expanded from a 12' width to 20' with improved shoulder. The drive expansion is necessary to bring in replacement equipment, such as transformers, for the substation. The existing culvert is perched at the outlet, rusting and showing signs of poor condition in several places. The replacement culvert will be sized appropriately for the stream and drainage area and will be designed and installed to enable aquatic organism passage in accordance with best management practices and as outlined in the VEGM. VELCO has consulted with the VT Department of Environmental Conservation ("VT DEC") River Management Engineer on the stream classification and received confirmation that stream S-SJ-1 is intermittent and that no Stream Alteration Permit will be required.

The Project will require a VT DEC Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit and VELCO will develop and adhere to a detailed EPSC plan for the Project to facilitate compliance and proper implementation of stormwater BMPs to avoid and minimize soil erosion in the stream during construction.

VELCO will avoid or mitigate adverse impacts to streams and riparian buffers through permitspecific mitigation plantings within and adjacent to the wetland impact areas (W-SJ-4) associated with S-SJ-1, in addition to the implementation and maintenance of EPSC measures during construction of the Project. The proposed design, which given the existing site constraints, avoids and minimizes impacts to stream and riparian zone resources to the extent practicable. The Project will not have an undue, adverse impact upon stream resources.

13.0 Shorelines (§ 6086 (a)(1)(F))

The shoreline assessment involved the review of USGS topographic maps, the Vermont Hydrography Dataset (streams, rivers, and waterbodies), digital orthophotography and field investigation. Shoreline boundaries include the land between the mean high water and low water mark of ponds, lakes, and applicable rivers (10 V.S.A. §6001(17)). Act 250 further defines shoreline as the land adjacent to the waters of lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and rivers. Act 250 criterion (1)(F) seeks to, insofar as possible and reasonable considering the purpose of the proposed Project,

- (i) retain the shoreline and the waters in their natural condition,
- (ii) allow continued access to the waters and the recreational opportunities provided by the waters,
- (iii) retain or provide vegetation which will screen the development or subdivision from the waters, and
- (iv) stabilize the bank from erosion, as necessary with vegetation cover

The Moose River forms the northern boundary of the PAA. The shoreline of the Moose River is however several hundred feet from the closest Project element. The Project area is not in a shoreline area so the Project will have no undue adverse impacts on shoreline resources.

14.0 Wetlands (§ 6086 (a)(1)(G))

The wetland assessment involved both a remote review of available maps (including Vermont Significant Wetland Inventory Maps and the NRCS Soil Survey) and a field inventory component conducted on May 30, 2023. The protocols put forth in the USACE's *Corp of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual* (2009 Regional Supplement for the Northcentral and Northeast Region) were employed for delineating wetlands as is the standard practice in Vermont.

AE field review confirmed the presence of 5 wetlands within the PAA. There were no potential vernal pools identified within the PAA. Per the wetland survey protocol, AE flagged wetland boundaries in the field and subsequently located with a GPS unit capable of sub-meter accuracy. The data was compiled and transferred to the geo-referenced resource map drawing in Figure 1 of the Attachment. Documentation of wetland boundaries further consisted of completing wetland and upland data forms (USACE Wetland Determination Forms) for each wetland. Table 1 of the Attachment summarizes the wetland resources delineated in the PAA, provides the Cowardin classification, the functions and values for each wetland, and lists the wetland classification. Wetland field data forms and functions and values assessment forms are included in Attachment 4.

The Project involves impacts to wetland W-SJ-4 and its associated 50-foot wetland buffer. Impacts associated with W-SJ-4 are primarily related to the expansion of the existing facility for the new control building, drainage improvements for the site and improvements to the access road. Temporary impacts are primarily associated with temporary trenching, construction workspace, material stockpiling, erosion control measures, and tree clearing access that will be restored with vegetated cover at or near pre-existing grades.

VELCO proposes to expand the existing substation perimeter fence in the direction of wetland W-SJ-4 for the new control building. W-SJ-4 is approximately 69' from the existing substation's southern fenceline. The preferred siting location for the new control building on the expanded south side of the substation would include necessary site drainage improvements which result in unavoidable, direct impacts to wetland W-SJ-4. Wetland W-SJ-4 and associated buffer will also be impacted by access drive widening (from 12' to 20') that is necessary for substation construction and maintenance equipment access to the site.

The Project team conducted a detailed evaluation of the site and identified several constraints, including but not limited to overhead transmission lines, fire code setbacks, adjacent existing infrastructure, necessary vehicle access, and mandatory clearances between energized equipment and substation fencing. As wetland W-SJ-4 closely abuts the existing substation and access drive, impacts in this wetland were largely unavoidable. VELCO designed the Project to avoid impacts to the wetland buffer of a presumed Class II wetland located to the east of the Project parcel.

VELCO met with the VT DEC and the US Army Corps of Engineers onsite September 21, 2023, to review the wetland delineation boundaries, proposed wetland classifications, and the Project's anticipated wetland and wetland buffer impacts. The USACE and VT DEC reviewed and approved the wetland delineations and classifications during the site visit. Onsite consultation with the VT DEC Wetlands Program confirmed that a permit could be issued for the Project, as the proposed impacts to the adjacent wetland and wetland buffer are unavoidable and VELCO has taken the necessary steps to minimize impacts as part of the design.

VELCO will seek the necessary authorizations from the VT DEC Wetlands Program and the USACE for its proposed impacts to jurisdictional wetlands (and buffers) and will adhere to its Construction Stormwater Discharge permit, Project-specific EPSC plan, and the VEGM to minimize the Project's potential impacts to wetlands during construction. Additionally, VELCO will implement onsite mitigation through a robust tree and shrub plantings plan that includes wetland compatible woody species within and adjacent to the wetland to be impacted by the Project.

For these reasons, the Project will not have an undue, adverse impact upon wetland resources.

15.0 Water Supply (§ 6086 (a)(2) and (3))

Water usage is proposed onsite during the Project for several activities, including, but not limited to dust control, certain aspects of restoration, and watering plantings. Water for these uses will be limited and temporary in nature and are necessary to support the construction phase of the proposed Project. As such, VELCO expects water use associated with Project construction to be minimal. The St Johnsbury substation is served by a Town of St Johnsbury municipal water supply connection.

VELCO is currently in the evaluation and design phase of its water and wastewater system connections for the new control building and will obtain and comply with a Wastewater System and Potable Water Supply permit from the VT ANR for the Project's engineered design and associated connections. It is expected that the onsite water supply will meet the limited water supply demand associated with the operation of the Project without causing a burden on existing water supplies. As such, the Project will have no undue adverse impacts on water supplies.

16.0 Soil Erosion (§ 6086 (a)(4))

AE assessed whether the Project would cause unreasonable soil erosion or reduction in the capacity of the land to hold water so that a dangerous or unhealthy condition may result.

16.1 Soils Types

Soil series data was obtained from VCGI and soil series descriptions from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey for Caledonia County. These series were plotted within the PAA as shown in Figure 4. Soils found in the PAA are summarized in Table 2 below.



Figure 4. Soil Types in PAA

The following table provides summary information for the soil types within the PAA.

Symbol	Soil Name	Highly Erodible Class	Acres in Study Area
14D	Vershire-Lombard complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes, rocky	highly erodible	0.78
17E	Dummerston very fine sandy loam, 35 to 60 percent slopes, very stony	highly erodible	2.88
20D	Buckland fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	highly erodible	14.09
21D	Buckland fine sandy loam, 15 to 35 percent slopes, very stony	highly erodible	6.67
22B	Cabot silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	potentially highly erodible	6.04
22C	Cabot silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	potentially highly erodible	5.95
23B	Cabot silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	potentially highly erodible	1.42

Table 2. Soil Type Summary

16.2 Soil Erosion

Soil types and slope gradients were evaluated within the PAA to assess the potential for proposed construction activity to reduce permeable area or cause an unreasonable risk for drainage or runoff problems that lead to soil erosion.



Figure 5. Soil Erodibility within PAA

The Project will require a VT DEC Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit, as the construction activities will involve more than one acre of earth disturbance. VELCO will develop and adhere to a detailed EPSC plan for the Project to facilitate compliance and proper implementation of stormwater BMPs to avoid and minimize soil erosion during construction.

VELCO will perform all earth-disturbing activities in accordance with the site-specific EPSC Plan, the Construction Stormwater Permit conditions, the Vermont Standards and Specifications for EPSC, and the VEGM. With the adherence to these conditions and BMPs, the proposed construction activities will not cause undue, adverse effects on soil erosion, or cause a reduction in the capacity of the land to hold water from the Project.

17.0 Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas (§ 6086(a)(8)), Necessary Wildlife Habitat and Endangered Species (§ 6086(a)(8)(A))

17.1 Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas (RINA)

The RINA assessment involved both a remote review of available digital maps for the PAA and a field review. AE reviewed digital orthophotography, the NRCS Soil Survey, the 2011 Bedrock Geologic Map of Vermont, and the Wildlife Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species digital database. No NHI-documented significant natural communities are located within 2000' of the PAA.

The PAA consists of open field vegetation, mixed forests and numerous wetlands. The forests consist of White Pine-Northern Hardwood and Hemlock-Northern Hardwood Forests which are

disturbed and contain early successional areas and areas dominated by non-native invasive species. The wetlands consist of Northern Hardwood Seepage Forests and Hemlock-Balsam Fir-Black Ash Seepage Swamps. These wetland communities are small, somewhat disturbed and contain NNIS species. None of the upland or wetland natural communities meet the criteria for state-significant natural communities or Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas.

17.2 Necessary Wildlife Habitat

The wildlife habitat assessment involved both a remote review of available digital maps for the PAA and a field inventory component. A remote review of available digital databases was conducted to identify and map necessary wildlife habitat (including State of Vermont Deeryard data layer USGS Topographic map, "VT HYDRODEM" elevation data, State of Vermont Bear Habitat data layers) within the PAA and within the vicinity of the PAA. The field inventory component involved characterizing vegetation natural communities and recording observations of wildlife signs or sightings during field surveys. There are no State of Vermont Wildlife Management Areas within 2,000' of the PAA.

17.2.1 White-tailed Deer Wintering Habitats

There are no mapped VT Fish and Wildlife Department (VFWD) white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) winter areas (DWA) within approximately 2.5 miles of the PAA. Based on field review, the conifer forest in the northern PAA was likely deer wintering area due to the presence of multiple hemlock with historic barking (winter feeding sign) greater than five years old. Mr. Noel Dodge from the VFWD conducted a site visit on September 21, 2023 to review and approve the DWA boundary. The closest Project work to the DWA consists of the onsite soil disposal area and associated temporary substation and laydown area. The proposed Project is not expected to result in any direct or indirect impacts to the identified DWA at the site, as there is an adequate buffer distance both horizontally and vertically between the Project activities and DWA. The Project will have no adverse impact on deer wintering habitat.

17.2.2 Black Bear Habitat

There is no bear habitat mapped by the VFWD within the PAA and no observations of bear feeding (including bear claw scarring) were made during the field inventories of the forests, open fields, and wetlands within the PAA. One bear scat was observed in wetland W-SJ-1 to the north west of the existing substation. The forests contained with the PAA consist of northern hardwood with combinations of white pine and hemlock. No concentrations of beech or oak stands were documented in these forests. The PAA does not contain necessary bear habitat. As such, the Project will have no adverse impact on necessary bear habitat.

17.2.3 Grassland Bird Habitat

The VFWD considers grassland bird habitat to be easily identifiable, concentrated and essential for the reproductive success and survival of a suite of birds. Grasslands used by many species of grassland birds consist of large expanses (minimum of 20 acres with a core breeding/nesting area of 15 acres) of native grasses with little or no woody vegetation. Contiguous area of open field is approximately 3.5 acres within the PAA. While a single grassland bird nest was identified during the VFWD site visit on September 21, 2023, the open field does not provide necessary

wildlife habitat. As such, the Project will have no adverse impact on necessary grassland bird habitat.

17.3 RTE Species

The RTE species review involved both a remote review of available digital maps for the PAA as well as a field survey. AE reviewed digital orthophotography, the NRCS Soil Survey, the 2011 Bedrock Geologic Map of Vermont and the Wildlife Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species digital database.

17.3.1 RTE Animal Species

According to the NHI, there are no RTE EOs of rare (ranked "S1" or "S2"), threatened, or endangered animal species within 2,000 feet of the PAA. The Northern Long Eared Bat (NLEB) is a federally listed endangered species in Vermont, potentially occurring statewide. The VFWD has issued guidance that project clearing constituting greater than 1% of the total forested area within a 1 square mile radius of a project triggers review for habitat loss of this species. The tree clearing area for the Project constitutes approximately 0.82 acres, significantly less than 1% of the total forested area within a 1 square mile radius of the Project. This Project involves a Federal nexus with the Section 404 US Army Corps of Engineers permit. VELCO will develop appropriate avoidance, minimization or mitigation measures during the wetland permitting process as necessary to avoid and/or mitigate significant adverse impacts to NLEB.

The Project is not in an area that potentially provides summer roosting habitat for Indiana bat, there are no old or abandoned buildings potentially providing roosting habitat for little brown bat proposed for demolition, and there are no known bat hibernacula or maternity roosts within 1 mile of the Project site. The Project will have no undue adverse impact on RTE animal species.

17.3.2 RTE Plant Species

An RTE plant survey was conducted throughout the entire PAA on June 16, 2023 by Michael Lew-Smith. A complete species list is included in Attachment 3.

According to the NHI, there are no known occurrences of RTE or uncommon plant species in the PAA, and none were found in the Project Area. The Project will have no undue adverse impact on RTE plant species.

18.0 Primary Agricultural Soils (10 V.S.A. § 6086 (a)(9)(B))

AE assessed whether the Project would result in a reduction in the agricultural potential of primary agricultural soils (PAS).

18.1 Soil Types

Soil series data was obtained from VCGI and soil series descriptions and PAS designation from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey for Caledonia County. These series were plotted within the PAA as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Primary Agricultural Soils within PAA

18.2 Primary Agricultural Soils in the Project Area

The southern portion of the PAA is comprised of PAS soils rated by the NRCS as Prime soils. There are no areas within the mapped PAS currently used for agriculture, and the surrounding slope and proximity to dense utility infrastructure largely precludes agricultural use of the land. The area of mapped PAS soils overlaps a portion of the existing substation, is also coincident with wetlands W-SJ-1, W-SJ-4, and W-SJ-5 and existing managed utility corridors, and areas with slope greater than 15%. Of the NRCS-mapped PAS, only approximately 2 acres are viable PAS within the vicinity of the Project Area (generally coincident with the proposed temporary configuration and construction support area).

The Project has been designed to minimize impacts to the extent possible, but because of terraindriven constraints, there will be both temporary and permanent impacts to PAS as a result of the Project. Of the approximately 2 acres of intact PAS acreage coincident with the Project Area, Project construction will result in approximately 1.10 acres of temporary impacts and approximately 0.50 acres of permanent impacts. The approximately 0.50 acres of permanent PAS impacts associated with the Project are related to grading side slopes, the temporary substation configuration ground grid, and an overhead GMP line re-route that will be left in place permanently. Once the temporary substation configuration aboveground equipment is removed, the below grade ground grid will be left in place and connected to the rebuilt substation for improved grounding (resistivity) capabilities.

Temporary impacts include the aboveground temporary substation configuration and construction support (staging) area, an access driveway to the temporary configuration area, and Project activities temporary in nature, such as minor trenching that will be appropriately

backfilled and stabilized within a short timeframe. Before any Project-related ground disturbance takes place, the topsoil of these areas will be stripped, windrowed, and stabilized for the duration of the Project. During construction, fill from the substation expansion area will be placed in the staging area. Post-construction, the windrowed top soil will be redeployed over these temporary areas which will then be stabilized with seed and mulch. VELCO will ensure revegetation of the areas and follow BMPs listed in the VEGM.

In addition to the temporary and permanent impacts to intact PAS at the site described above, the Project will also result in minor impacts to non-intact PAS. Areas of non-intact PAS at the site do not provide viable farmland due to proximity to existing site improvements and/or their position within and adjacent to steep slopes, wetlands, and existing site improvements. These areas include the substation driveway, substation, and substation site drainage/grading. The Project includes approximately 0.23 acres of non-temporary impacts to non-intact PAS and approximately 0.04 acres of temporary impacts to non-intact PAS.

The Agency of Agriculture Food and Markets ("AAFM") generally requires mitigation for permanent impacts to PAS when mitigation totals exceed two acres. VELCO will coordinate with AAFM to implement any necessary mitigation. For these reasons, the Project will have no undue adverse impact to soils with agricultural potential or importance.

19.0 References

Argentine, Cindy Corlett. Vermont Act 250 Handbook. Putney Press. 2008.

Natural Resources Board. Vermont Wetland Rules. Effective February 10, 2023.

Natural Resources Conservation Service. Soil Survey Maps.

Ratcliffe, N.M., Stanley, R.S., Gale, M.H., Thompson, P.J., and Walsh, G.J., 2011, Bedrock geologic map of the Vermont: U. S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3184, scale 1:100,000.

Thompson, Elizabeth H. and Eric R. Sorenson, and Robert J. Zaino. Wetland, Woodland, and Wildland: A Guide to the Natural Communities of Vermont. The Nature Conservancy of Vermont, 2019.

Vermont Agency of Natural Resources Guidance for Agency Act 250 and Section 248 Comments Regarding Riparian Buffers. December 9, 2005.

Vermont Agency of Natural Resources Guidelines for the Conservation and Protection of State-Significant Natural Communities, October 21,2004.

Vermont Center for Geographic Information (VCGI). EcologicHabitat_DEERWN GIS data layer. Provided by Vt. Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, release date April 1, 2011.

Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department Regulatory Review Guidance for Protecting Northern Long-eared Bats and Their Habitats. February 2017.

Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department. Guidance for Conducting Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plant Inventories in Connection with Section 248 Projects, 2016.

Attachments

Attachment 1: Streams/Wetlands Resources

- Attachment 2: Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species/Natural Communities/Necessary Wildlife Habitat Areas
- Attachment 3. Rare Plant Inventory Species List
- Attachment 4. Wetland Field Data Forms and Functions and Values Assessment Forms
- Table 1.Wetland Summary Table



		000 000	
West-4	220		all as - The are the
		StreamRegimeBecSJ-1Intermittent Large GrSJ-2IntermittentSJ-3IntermittentSJ-4PerennialCobbSJ-5PerennialCobbSJ-8IntermittentSJ-9IntermittentSJ-10Intermittent	ravel ravel le le
	Wetland	d Cowardin Cla	ass
	SJ-1 SJ-2	PFOC/PSSB/PEMB PFOC/PSSC	2
	SJ-3	PEMB	3
	SJ-4	PEMB/PSSB	2
	SJ-5	PEMB/PSSB	3
	SJ-6	PSSB/PEMB	2
Culverts (approx)	Tra	ans./Dist. Lines	and the second



Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plant Inventory

Report Date: 8/29/2023

Project Name St Johnsbury Higgins Hill Rd SCAP

Botanist Michael Lew-Smith

Survey Date 6/16/2023, 6/29/2023

Description Open fields and mixed woods

Plant List

*note: plants with no listed S-Ranks are considered common in Vermont.

Plant Name	Common Name	S-Rank* T/E Plant Family
Sagittaria latifolia	common arrowhead	Alismataceae
Maianthemum canadense	Canada mayflower	Amaryllidaceae
Maianthemum racemosum	false Solomon's-seal	Amaryllidaceae
Rhus typhina	staghorn sumac	Anacardiaceae
Toxicodendron rydbergii	creeping poison-ivy	Anacardiaceae
Daucus carota	Queen Anne's lace	Apiaceae
Hydrocotyle americana	marsh pennywort	Apiaceae
Zizia aurea	golden Alexanders	Apiaceae
Apocynum cannabinum	Indian hemp	Apocynaceae
Asclepias syriaca	common milkweed	Apocynaceae
Arisaema triphyllum	Jack-in-the-pulpit	Araceae
Achillea millefolium	yarrow	Asteraceae
Anaphalis margaritacea	pearly everlasting	Asteraceae
Cichorium intybus	chicory	Asteraceae
Cirsium arvense	Canada thistle	Asteraceae
Erigeron philadelphicus	Philadelphia fleabane	Asteraceae
Euthamia graminifolia	grass-leaved goldenrod	Asteraceae
Leucanthemum vulgare	common daisy	Asteraceae
Nabalus altissimus	tall white lettuce	Asteraceae
Packera sp.	ragwort	Asteraceae
Pilosella aurantiaca	orange hawkweed	Asteraceae
Pilosella piloselloides	glaucous king-devil	Asteraceae
Rudbeckia hirta	black-eyed Susan	Asteraceae
Solidago caesia	blue-stemmed goldenrod	Asteraceae
Solidago flexicaulis	zig-zag goldenrod	Asteraceae
Solidago gigantea	large goldenrod	Asteraceae
Solidago juncea	early goldenrod	Asteraceae
Solidago rugosa	rough-leaved goldenrod	Asteraceae
Sonchus arvensis	sow thistle	Asteraceae
Symphyotrichum cordifolium	heart-leaved aster	Asteraceae

ARROWWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plant Inventory

Report Date: 8/29/2023

Plant Name	Common Name	S-Rank*	T/E	Plant Family
Symphyotrichum lateriflorum	calico aster			Asteraceae
Symphyotrichum cf novae-angliae	New England aster			Asteraceae
Symphyotrichum puniceum	red-stemmed aster			Asteraceae
Taraxacum officinale	common dandelion			Asteraceae
Tragopogon dubius	fistulous goat's-beard			Asteraceae
Athyrium filix-femina	lady fern			Athyriaceae
Caulophyllum thalictroides	blue cohosh			Berberidaceae
Alnus incana	gray alder			Betulaceae
Betula alleghaniensis	yellow birch			Betulaceae
Betula papyrifera	paper birch			Betulaceae
Corylus cornuta	beaked hazelnut			Betulaceae
Ostrya virginiana	hop-hornbeam			Betulaceae
Myosotis scorpioides	common forget-me-not			Boraginaceae
Cardamine diphylla	common toothwort			Brassicaceae
Diervilla lonicera	dwarf bush-honeysuckle			Caprifoliaceae
Lonicera tatarica	Tartarian honeysuckle			Caprifoliaceae
Valeriana officinalis	garden heliotrope			Caprifoliaceae
Cerastium fontanum	common mouse-ear chickweed			Caryophyllaceae
Silene sp.	campion			Caryophyllaceae
Stellaria longifolia	long-leaved stitchwort			Caryophyllaceae
Parnassia glauca	grass-of-parnassus			Celastraceae
Uvularia sessilifolia	wild-oats			Colchicaceae
Calystegia sepium	hedge bindweed			Convolvulaceae
Cornus racemosa	gray dogwood			Cornaceae
Cornus rugosa	round-leaved dogwood			Cornaceae
Cornus sericea	red-osier dogwood			Cornaceae
Juniperus virginiana	eastern red cedar			Cupressaceae
Thuja occidentalis	northern white cedar			Cupressaceae
Carex arctata	drooping wood sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex aurea	golden-fruited sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex baileyi	Bailey's sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex brunnescens	brownish sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex castanea	chestnut sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex crinita	fringed sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex debilis	weak sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex deweyana	Dewey's sedge			Cyperaceae
Carex flava	yellow sedge			Cyperaceae

FARROWWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plant Inventory

Report Date: 8/29/2023

Plant Name	Common Name	S-Rank*	T/E Plant Family
Carex intumescens	swollen sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex leptalea	bristle-stalked sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex lurida	sallow sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex novae-angliae	New England sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex pallescens	pale sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex pensylvanica	Pennsylvania sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex platyphylla	broad-leaved sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex rosea	rosy sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex scabrata	rough sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex scoparia	broom sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex sparganioides	bur-reed sedge		Cyperaceae
Carex stipata	stipitate sedge		Cyperaceae
Eleocharis obtusa	blunt spike-rush		Cyperaceae
Eriophorum viridicarinatum	green-keeled cotton-grass		Cyperaceae
Scirpus atrovirens	dark bulrush		Cyperaceae
Scirpus microcarpus	barberpole bulrush		Cyperaceae
Gymnocarpium dryopteris	oak fern		Cystopteridaceae
Pteridium aquilinum	bracken		Dennstaedtiaceae
Dryopteris intermedia	intermediate woodfern		Dryopteridaceae
Dryopteris marginalis	marginal woodfern		Dryopteridaceae
Polystichum acrostichoides	Christmas fern		Dryopteridaceae
Equisetum arvense	field horsetail		Equisetaceae
Equisetum hyemale	scouring-rush		Equisetaceae
Equisetum scirpoides	dwarf scouring-rush		Equisetaceae
Equisetum sylvaticum	woodland horsetail		Equisetaceae
Equisetum variegatum	variegated scouring-rush		Equisetaceae
Vaccinium myrtilloides	velvet-leaved blueberry		Ericaceae
Lathyrus pratensis	meadow pea		Fabaceae
Medicago lupulina	black medick		Fabaceae
Medicago sativa	alfalfa		Fabaceae
Securigera varia	crown vetch		Fabaceae
Trifolium pratense	red clover		Fabaceae
Trifolium repens	white clover		Fabaceae
Vicia cracca	cow vetch		Fabaceae
Fagus grandifolia	American beech		Fagaceae
Quercus rubra	red oak		Fagaceae
Ribes glandulosum	skunk currant		Grossulariaceae

GARROWWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plant Inventory

Report Date: 8/29/2023

Plant Name	Common Name	S-Rank*	T/E Plant Family
Iris versicolor	blue flag		Iridaceae
Juncus effusus	soft rush		Juncaceae
Juncus tenuis	path rush		Juncaceae
Luzula multiflora	common wood rush		Juncaceae
Ajuga reptans	carpet bugle		Lamiaceae
Galeopsis tetrahit	dead hemp-nettle		Lamiaceae
Mentha arvensis	field mint		Lamiaceae
Prunella vulgaris	self-heal		Lamiaceae
Dendrolycopodium obscurum	flat-branched tree clubmoss		Lycopodiaceae
Tilia americana	basswood		Malvaceae
Trillium erectum	red trillium		Melanthiaceae
Fraxinus americana	white ash		Oleaceae
Circaea canadensis	tall enchanter's nightshade		Onagraceae
Oenothera perennis	sundrops		Onagraceae
Onoclea sensibilis	sensitive fern		Onocleaceae
Epipactis helleborine	helleborine		Orchidaceae
Osmunda claytoniana	interrupted fern		Osmundaceae
Osmunda regalis	royal fern		Osmundaceae
Osmundastrum cinnamomeum	cinnamon fern		Osmundaceae
Oxalis stricta	tall yellow wood-sorrel		Oxalidaceae
Abies balsamea	balsam fir		Pinaceae
Larix laricina	tamarack		Pinaceae
Picea rubens	red spruce		Pinaceae
Pinus strobus	white pine		Pinaceae
Tsuga canadensis	eastern hemlock		Pinaceae
Chelone glabra	turtlehead		Plantaginaceae
Plantago lanceolata	buckhorn plantain		Plantaginaceae
Veronica chamaedrys	germander speedwell		Plantaginaceae
Agrostis stolonifera	creeping bent		Poaceae
Anthoxanthum nitens	sweet grass		Poaceae
Anthoxanthum odoratum	sweet vernal grass		Poaceae
Brachyelytrum aristosum	bearded shorthusk		Poaceae
Bromus inermis	Hungarian brome		Poaceae
Dactylis glomerata	orchard grass		Poaceae
Dichanthelium acuminatum	woolly panic grass		Poaceae
Elymus repens	witch grass		Poaceae
Festuca ovina	sheep fescue		Poaceae

FARROWWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plant Inventory

Report Date: 8/29/2023

Plant Name	Common Name	S-Rank* T/E Plant Family
Festuca trachyphylla	hard fescue	Poaceae
Glyceria striata	fowl manna grass	Poaceae
Patis racemosa	black-seeded rice-grass	Poaceae
Phalaris arundinacea	reed canary grass	Poaceae
Phleum pratense	Herd's grass	Poaceae
Poa annua	annual bluegrass	Poaceae
Poa palustris	fowl meadow grass	Poaceae
Poa pratensis	Kentucky bluegrass	Poaceae
Schedonorus arundinaceus	tall fescue	Poaceae
Fallopia cilinodis	fringed bindweed	Polygonaceae
Rumex acetosella	sheep sorrel	Polygonaceae
Rumex crispus	curly dock	Polygonaceae
Lysimachia borealis	starflower	Primulaceae
Lysimachia nummularia	moneywort	Primulaceae
Lysimachia vulgaris	garden loosestrife	Primulaceae
Adiantum pedatum	northern maidenhair	Pteridaceae
Actaea pachypoda	doll's-eyes baneberry	Ranunculaceae
Anemone quinquefolia	wood anemone	Ranunculaceae
Caltha palustris	marsh-marigold	Ranunculaceae
Clematis virginiana	virgin's-bower	Ranunculaceae
Ranunculus abortivus	kidney-leaved crowfoot	Ranunculaceae
Ranunculus acris	common buttercup	Ranunculaceae
Thalictrum pubescens	tall meadow-rue	Ranunculaceae
Frangula alnus	glossy buckthorn	Rhamnaceae
Rhamnus alnifolia	alder-leaved buckthorn	Rhamnaceae
Rhamnus cathartica	buckthorn	Rhamnaceae
Amelanchier sp.	shadbush	Rosaceae
Crataegus sp.	hawthorn	Rosaceae
Fragaria vesca	wood strawberry	Rosaceae
Geum rivale	purple avens	Rosaceae
Malus pumila	wild apple	Rosaceae
Potentilla recta	sulphur cinquefoil	Rosaceae
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Rosaceae
Prunus virginiana	choke cherry	Rosaceae
Rubus idaeus	red raspberry	Rosaceae
Rubus pubescens	dwarf raspberry	Rosaceae
Sorbus americana	American mountain ash	Rosaceae

FARROWWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plant Inventory

Report Date: 8/29/2023

Plant Name	Common Name	S-Rank*	T/E	Plant Family
Spiraea alba	meadowsweet			Rosaceae
Spiraea tomentosa	steeplebush			Rosaceae
Galium asprellum	rough bedstraw			Rubiaceae
Galium mollugo	common bedstraw			Rubiaceae
Galium tinctorium	southern three-lobed bedstraw	1		Rubiaceae
Galium triflorum	sweet-scented bedstraw			Rubiaceae
Houstonia caerulea	bluets			Rubiaceae
Populus balsamifera	balsam poplar			Salicaceae
Populus grandidentata	large-toothed aspen			Salicaceae
Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen			Salicaceae
Salix eriocephala	wand willow			Salicaceae
Salix humilis	small willow			Salicaceae
Salix sericea	silky willow			Salicaceae
Acer pensylvanicum	striped maple			Sapindaceae
Acer rubrum	red maple			Sapindaceae
Acer saccharum	sugar maple			Sapindaceae
Verbascum thapsus	common mullein			Scrophulariaceae
Solanum dulcamara	bittersweet nightshade			Solanaceae
Parathelypteris noveboracensis	New York fern			Thelypteridaceae
Phegopteris connectilis	long beech fern			Thelypteridaceae
Thelypteris palustris	marsh fern			Thelypteridaceae
Typha latifolia	broad-leaved cat-tail			Typhaceae
Ulmus rubra	slippery elm			Ulmaceae
Sambucus nigra	elder			Viburnaceae
Viburnum acerifolium	maple-leaved viburnum			Viburnaceae
Viburnum lantanoides	hobble-bush			Viburnaceae
Viola sororia	woolly blue violet			Violaceae
Viola sp.	violet			Violaceae
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	woodbine			Vitaceae
Vitis riparia	riverbank grape			Vitaceae

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St Johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury		Sam	pling Date: 5	5/30/2	023
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State:	VT	Sampling Po	oint:	Wetland
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:					
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none		Slope	e (%):	12
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R	Lat: 44.4194647 Long: 71.98542	215		Datum:	TP#	5
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland fine sandy loan	n	NWI clas	sification	n: <u>2</u>		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site	typical for this time of year? Yes x No (If	no, expla	ain in Rer	marks.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydro	ologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circum	stances"	present?	Yes >	<u>k</u> N	0
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydro	blogynaturally problematic? (If needed, explain a	any answe	ers in Re	marks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes x No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland SJ-W1
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	
Remarks: (Explain alternative proced	lures here or in a	separate report.)	
PFOC/PEMB/PSSB: old field/emerge	nt/scrub shrub/fo	rested	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:							Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)						Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)Water-Stained Leaves (B9)						Drainage Patterns (B10)			
x High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)						Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
x Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)						Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)			F	lydrogen Sulfide	Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		_	x C	Dxidized Rhizosph	neres on Livi	ng Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)			F	Presence of Redu	ced Iron (C4	·)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		_	F	Recent Iron Reduc	ction in Tillec	d Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)		_	Т	hin Muck Surface	e (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial	lmagery (E	37)	0	Other (Explain in F	Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concav	e Surface	(B8)					X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present? Y	es	No	x	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present? Y	es x	No		Depth (inches)	0				
	<u> </u>			Deptil (inches).	0				
Saturation Present? Y	es x	No	_	Depth (inches):	0	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe)	es <u>x</u>	No		Depth (inches):	0	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream	es x gauge, m	No	ng we	Depth (inches):	0 Drevious insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream	es <u>x</u> gauge, m	No	ng we	Depth (inches):	0 Drevious insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream	es <u>x</u> gauge, m	No	ng we	Depth (inches): Depth (inches):	0 Drevious insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	No	ng we	Depth (inches):	0	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	No	ng we	Depth (inches):	0 Direvious insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	No	ng we	II, aerial photos, p	0 Drevious insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	No onitorin	ng we	II, aerial photos, p	0 orevious insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	no onitorin	ng we	II, aerial photos, p	0 previous insp	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	No onitorin	ng we	II, aerial photos, p	0 previous insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Present? Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	No	ig we	Depth (inches):	0 previous insp	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Present? Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	no onitorin	ng we	Depth (inches):	0 previous insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Present? Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	onitorin	ag we	Depth (inches): _	0 previous insp	Wetland Hy pections), if avai	drology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Y (includes capillary fringe) Present? Describe Recorded Data (stream Remarks:	i gauge, m	no onitorin	ng we	Depth (inches): Depth (inches):	0 previous insp	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes X No		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:	Wetland
oumpning round.	v v o tiuriu

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30' x 30'</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1				Number of Dominant Species
2. None present				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:4 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata:4 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species x 1 =25
1				FACW species 11 x 2 = 22
2				FAC species 50 x 3 = 150
3. spiraea tomentosa	10	Yes	FACW	FACU species x 4 =0
4. Salix spp	20	Yes	Fac	UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 86 (A) 197 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.29
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	30	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				X Dominance Test is >50%
1.				X Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Eriophorum spp	20	Yes	OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Geum rivale	5	No	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Ranunculus acris	5	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5 Carex spp	25	Yes	FAC	
6 Thelvoteris palustris	1	<u> </u>	FacW	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7	·		1 4011	Definitions of Vegetation Strata
8				Deminions of Vegetation offata.
0				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
5				diameter at breast neight (DBH), regardless of height.
14				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
10				and greater than 3.26 ft (1 m) tail.
12.		Tatal Causer		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	00	= I otal Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 it tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2.				Hydrophytic
3. None present				Vegetation
4				Present?
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Description: (Describ	e to the de	pth needed to docu	ment th	e indicato	or or conf	firm the absence of indica	tors.)
Depth Matrix		Redo	k Featur	es			
(inches) Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-16 10vr 3/1	100					Muck	saturated
	100					INIUCK	Saturated
	<u> </u>						
<u> </u>	·						
	·						
<u> </u>	·						
	. <u> </u>						
	·						
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=De	pletion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ited Sand	Grains. ² Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils ³ :
X Histosol (A1)	_	Polyvalue Below	Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm Muck (A10)	(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie Red	ox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface	ce (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149	B) 5 cm Mucky Peat	or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	•	Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) (LRR 🖌	K, L)	Dark Surface (S7)) (LRR K, L)
Stratified Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed N	latrix (F2	2)		Polyvalue Below	Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Thin Dark Surface	e (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Sur	ace (F6)		Iron-Manganese N	Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	•	Depleted Dark S	urface (, F7)		Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gleved Matrix (S4)	•	Redox Depressi	ons (F8)	,		Mesic Spodic (TA	6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Redox (S5)	•		()			Red Parent Mater	ial (TF2)
Stripped Matrix (S6)						Verv Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R.	MLRA 149) B)				Other (Explain in	Remarks)
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
³ Indicators of hydrophytic veget	ation and v	vetland hvdrologv mu	st be pre	esent. unle	ss disturk	ped or problematic.	
Restrictive Laver (if observed):),,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Type: NA	,						
Dopth (inchoo):						Ukudaia Cail Daacaat2	
						Hydric Soli Present?	
Remarks:							

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Samp	ling Date: 5/30/2	2023
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State: VT	Sampling Point:	Upland
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	12
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44.419261	Long: 71.985304	15	Datum: TP6	3
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland fine sandy loam	٩	IWI classification:	Class 2	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	f year? Yes x No (If ı	no, explain in Rem	arks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignification	antly disturbed? Are "Normal Circums	tances" present?	Yes 1	√o <u>x</u>
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturall	y problematic? (If needed, explain an	ny answers in Rem	arks.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	Yes SJ-W1	No
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Old field under powerline	es here or in a	separate report.)			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)						
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)						Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)				Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)				Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livi	ing Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4	4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tille	d Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery ((B7)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Con	cave Surface	ə (B8)		-		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hy	/drology Present? Yes No x			
(includes capillary fringe)									
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, r	monitor	ing v	vell, aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if ava	ilable:			
Remarks:									

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:	Wetland
oumpning rount.	vvoluna

·	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator				
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30' x 30'</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:			
1				Number of Dominant Species			
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)			
3			,	Total Number of Dominant			
4				Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)			
5				Percent of Dominant Species			
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)			
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0			
1.				FACW species $0 x^2 = 0$			
2. Frangula alnus	5	Yes	FAC	FAC species 32 x 3 = 96			
3 Rubus occidentalis	2	Yes	UPI	FACU species $12 \times 4 = 48$			
4 Rubus idaeus	2	Yes	FAC	$\frac{1}{100} \text{ species} \qquad 77 \qquad x 5 = 385$			
	1	<u> </u>	NI	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline column Totals \\ \hline c$			
				$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$			
7			,	Hudrophytic Versetation Indicators:			
/:		Tatal Osuar		Rydrophytic vegetation indicators:			
	10	= I otal Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5' x 5'</u>)				Dominance Test is >50%			
1				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ⁺			
2. Bromus inermis	30	Yes	UPL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting			
3. Solidago rugosa	25	Yes	FAC	uata in Remarks of on a separate sheet)			
4. Galium mollugo	20	No	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
5. Vicia sativa	2	No	FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
6. Anthoxanthum odoratum	10	No	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
7. Symphyotrichum lanceolatum	25	Yes	UPL	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in			
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
10.				Sanling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH			
11.				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
12.							
	112	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')							
, ,				woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.			
2 None present							
3				Hydrophytic			
<u> </u>				Vegetation Present? Yes No v			
¬		-Total Covor					
Pomorko: (Includo photo sumboro horo or or a area	roto obset \			1			
Remarks. (Include prioto numbers here or on a sepa	iale sileel.)						
Profile Description: (Describe to t	he depth needed to docu	ument the indic	ator or con	firm the absence of indica	tors.)		
---	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------		
Depth Matrix	Redc	ox Features					
(inches) Color (moist) 9	6 Color (moist)	% Туре	¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-18 10yr 3/3 10)0			Loamy/Clayey	Loose/dry		
18-20 2.5y 4/3 10	00			Loamy/Clayey	Loose/dry		
				<u> </u>			
				·			
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletic	on, RM=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Covered or C	Coated Sand	d Grains. ² Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil Indicators:				Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol (A1)	Polyvalue Below	w Surface (S8) (LRR R,	2 cm Muck (A10)	(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)		
Histic Epipedon (A2)	MLRA 149B)			Coast Prairie Red	ox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)		
Black Histic (A3)	Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (LRR R	, MLRA 149	B) 5 cm Mucky Peat	or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky N	/lineral (F1) (LR	R K, L)	Dark Surface (S7)	(LRR K, L)		
Stratified Layers (A5)	Loamy Gleyed I	Matrix (F2)		Polyvalue Below S	Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)		
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A	.11) Depleted Matrix	: (F3)		Thin Dark Surface	e (S9) (LRR K, L)		
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)		Iron-Manganese N	Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)		
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7)		Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)		
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depress	ions (F8)			6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)		
Sandy Redox (S5)				Red Parent Mater	ial (TF2)		
Stripped Matrix (S6)				Very Shallow Darl	Courrace (TF12)		
	.A 149D)				Remarks)		
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vogotation	and wotland hydrology m	ist ha procont i	unloce distur	had ar problematic			
Restrictive Laver (if observed):	and wetland hydrology mu	ust be present, t					
Type: NA							
Depth (inches):				Hvdric Soil Present?	Yes No x		
Remarks:				.,			
Remarks.							

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St Joh	nnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Samp	oling Date: 5/30/2	023
Applicant/Owner: Velco			State: VT	Sampling Point:	Wetland
Investigator(s): DB		Section, Township, Range:			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Hillside	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	6
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR	R Lat: 44.4203883	Long: 71.9851	403	Datum: TP#	1
Soil Map Unit Name: Dummerstor	n very fine sandy loam		NWI classification:	2	
Are climatic / hydrologic condition	s on the site typical for this time c	of year? Yes <u>x</u> No (I	f no, explain in Rem	arks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrologysignific	antly disturbed? Are "Normal Circun	nstances" present?	Yes <u>x</u> N	lo
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrologynatural	ly problematic? (If needed, explain	any answers in Rem	narks.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes x No Yes x No Yes x No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes x No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland SJ-W2
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu PFOC/PSSC	ures here or in a separate report.)	

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) x Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
x High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
x Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) x Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes No x Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 6
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:

Sampling Point: Wetland

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.				New Arrange Development Operation
2. Acer pennsylvanica	10	Yes		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3 (A)
3. 4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
5. 6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>75.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	10	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species X 1 = 40
1				FACW species 86 x 2 = 172
2				FAC species x 3 =
3. Alnus incana	40	Yes	FacW	FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 126 (A) 212 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =1.68
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	40	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				X Dominance Test is >50%
1				X Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Carex scabrata	25	Yes	OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Onoclea sensibilis	5	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Equisetum sylvaticum	40	Yes	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Caltha palustris	15	No	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6. Veratrum viride	1	No	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Sanling/shruh – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	86	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3 28 ft in
1				height.
2.				
3. None present				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			•

Profile D	escription: (Describe	to the de	epth needed to docu	iment th	e indicato	or or con	firm the absence of	indicato	rs.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
0-3	10yr 3/1	100			. <u></u>		Mucky Loam/Clay		saturated	
3-10	10yr 4/1	96	10yr 4/6	4	с	pl	Sandy	Promir	nent redox conc	centrations
	,		,							
	·									
					· . <u> </u>		<u> </u>			
¹ Type: C:	=Concentration, D=Dep	pletion, RI	M=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ited Sand	d Grains. ² Loca	tion: PL=	Pore Lining, M	=Matrix.
Hydric So	oil Indicators:						Indicators for	Problem	atic Hydric So	ils ³ :
Histo	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm Muck	(A10) (L	RR K, L, MLR	A 149B)
Histic	c Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prai	rie Redox	(A16) (LRR K ,	, L, R)
Black	(Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) ((LRR R, M	LRA 149	B) 5 cm Muck	xy Peat or	Peat (S3) (LR	R K, L, R)
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky N	lineral (F	1) (LRR 🖌	K, L)	Dark Surfa	ce (S7) (I	LRR K, L)	
Strati	ified Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed N	Aatrix (F	2)		Polyvalue	Below Su	rface (S8) (LRF	R K, L)
X Deple	eted Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Thin Dark	Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6	5)		Iron-Manga	anese Ma	sses (F12) (LR	R K, L, R)
Sand	ly Mucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7)		Piedmont I	Floodplair	n Soils (F19) (N	ILRA 149B)
Sand	ly Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8)			Mesic Spo	dic (TA6)	(MLRA 144A,	145, 149B)
X Sand	ly Redox (S5)						Red Paren	t Material	(TF2)	
Stripp	bed Matrix (S6)						Very Shall	ow Dark S	Surface (TF12)	
Dark	Surface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 14	9B)				Other (Exp	lain in Re	emarks)	
³ Indiantor	a of hydrophytic ycasta	tion and y	watland budralagy mu	ot ha ar	agent unla	oo diatur	had ar problematic			
Restricti	s of hydrophytic vegeta		vetianu nyurology mu	ist be pre	esent, unie	55 015101				
Type [.]	NA	•								
Depth (inches):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent?	Yes X	No
Remarks:										
rtomano.										

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Sampling Date: 5/30/2023
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State: VT Sampling Point: Upland
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none Slope (%):25
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R	Lat: 44.4202542 Long: 71.984887	78 Datum: TP2
Soil Map Unit Name: Dummerston very fine san	dy loamN	IWI classification: Class 2
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site ty	pical for this time of year? Yes x No (If r	no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrole	ogysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circums	tances" present? Yes <u>x</u> No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrole	ogynaturally problematic? (If needed, explain an	y answers in Remarks.)
	the second second second by a second by the second se	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	Yes SJ-W2	No
Remarks: (Explain alternative proced	ures here or in a	a separate report.)			

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required;	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C	C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches): Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No x</u>		
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	available:		
Remarks:				

Sampling Point: Wetland

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
				Number of Dominant Spacing
2. Tsuga canadensis	10	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:1 (A)
3. Abies balsamea	5	No	FAC	T-t-I Number of Dominant
4. Populus tremuloides	10	Yes	UPL	Species Across All Strata:4 (B)
5. Fraxinus americana	1	No	FACU	Percent of Dominant Spaciae
6. Betula alleghaniensis	5	No	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	31	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 22 x 2 = 44
2. Betula alleghaniensis	1	No	FAC	FAC species x 3 = 33
3. Acer pensylvanicum	2	No	FACU	FACU species <u>17</u> x 4 = <u>68</u>
4. acer saccharum	1	No	FACU	UPL species <u>62</u> x 5 = <u>310</u>
5				Column Totals: 112 (A) 455 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.06
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	4	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				Dominance Test is >50%
1				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Phegopteris connectilis	10	No	UPL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Dryopteris intermedia	1	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Caulophyllum thalictroides	2	No	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Gymnocarpium dryopteris	40	Yes	UPL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6. Arisaema triphyllum	2	No	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Cardamine diphylla	1	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Equisetum sylvaticum	20	Yes	FACW	Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9. Polystichum acrostichoides	1	No	FACU	diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	77	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2. None present				
3				Hydrophytic Vedetation
4.				Present? Yes No x
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			

SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the d	lepth needed to docu	ument th	ne indicato	or or con	firm the absence of indic	ators.)
Depth Matrix	Redo	x Featur	res			
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-12 10yr 3/2 100					Loamy/Clayey	dry
12-16 10yr 3/2 96	10yr 4/1	4	с	pl	Loamy/Clayey	dry
— — — —			·	<u> </u>		
—			·			
			·			
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, R	M=Reduced Matrix. C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ted Sanc	Grains. ² Location	PL=Pore Lining M=Matrix
Hydric Soil Indicators:					Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Polvvalue Belov	v Surface	e (S8) (L R	RR.	2 cm Muck (A10)	(LRR K. L. MLRA 149B)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	MLRA 149B)	- Currao	o (00) (<u>-</u>	,	Coast Prairie Re	dox (A16) (LRR K. L. R)
Black Histic (A3)	Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9)	(LRR R. M	LRA 149	B) 5 cm Mucky Pea	t or Peat (S3) (LRR K. L. R)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky M	/ineral (F	=1) (LRR M	(. L)	Dark Surface (S7	7) (LRR K. L)
Stratified Lavers (A5)	Loamy Gleved I	Matrix (F	2)	-, _/	Polyvalue Below	Surface (S8) (LRR K. L)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)	_)		Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (LRR K. L)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Bedox Dark Su	face (F6	5)		Iron-Manganese	Masses (F12) (LRR K. L. R)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark S	Surface ((F7)		Piedmont Floods	lain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gleved Matrix (S4)	Redox Depress	ions (F8))		Mesic Spodic (T/	A6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Redox (S5)			/		Red Parent Mate	rial (TF2)
Stripped Matrix (S6)					Very Shallow Da	rk Surface (TE12)
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R. MLRA 14	49B)				Other (Explain in	Remarks)
	/					,
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	wetland hydrology mu	ust be pr	esent. unle	ess distur	bed or problematic.	
Restrictive Layer (if observed):	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Type: NA						
Depth (inches):					Hvdric Soil Present?	Yes No x
Demostra:					,	
Remarks.						

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St Johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Sampling Date: 5/30/2023
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State: VT Sampling Point: Wetland
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none Slope (%):20
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 4	4.4198259 Long: 71.98503	224 Datum: TP#3
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland fine sandy loam	1	NWI classification: 3
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for	this time of year? Yes x No (If	no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circums	stances" present? Yes x No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic? (If needed, explain a	ny answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes x No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland SJ-W3
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Small seepage: PEMB	ıres here or in a se	eparate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
x High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
x Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) x Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled S	Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No x Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 8	
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	ctions), if available:
Remarks:	

Sampling Point:	Wetland
oumpning romu.	vvoluna

Tree Stratum (Dist size) 201 x 201	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Deminence Test werkehest:		
	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
1. 2. None present				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)		
3				Total Number of Dominant		
4				Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)		
5				Percent of Dominant Species		
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)		
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species 60 x 1 = 60		
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0		
2.				FAC species 25 x 3 = 75		
3. Rhamnus cathartica	1	No	UPL	FACU species 0 x 4 = 0		
4.				UPL species $6 \times 5 = 30$		
5.				Column Totals: 91 (A) 165 (B)		
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.81$		
7.				Hvdrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
	1	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				X Dominance Test is >50%		
/ 1.				X Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
2. Carex scabrata	60	Yes	OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting		
3. Athyrium filix-femina	5	No	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
4. Equisetum scirpoides	20	Yes	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
5. Gymnocarpium dryopteris	5	No	UPL	1. directory of hudring and working the dealer way as at		
6.				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in		
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH		
12						
12.	90	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in		
1				height.		
2						
3. None present				Hydrophytic Vegetation		
4				Present? Yes X No		
		=Total Cover				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			·		
	,					

Profile De	scription: (Describ	e to the d	epth needed to docu	iment th	ne indicato	or or con	firm the absence of	indicato	ors.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
0-12	2.5y 2.5/1	100					Mucky Sand		saturated	
12-16	2.5y 4/1	98	10yr 4/6	2	С	pl	Sandy	Promi	nent redox concentrati	ons
					. <u> </u>					
					·					
——					·					
				-	·					
					. <u> </u>					
	Concontration D-Do		M-Roducod Matrix C	S-Covo		tod Sand		tion. DI	Dara Lining M. Matri	
Hydric So	il Indicators			0-0006		liteu Sanu	Indicators for	Problem	atic Hydric Soils ³	Χ.
Histos	ol (A1)		? Polyvalue Below	/ Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R.	2 cm Muck	(A10) (L	.RR K, L, MLRA 149B	5)
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)		- () (,	Coast Prair	rie Redox	(A16) (LRR K, L, R)	/
Black	Histic (A3)		? Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9)	(LRR R, M	LRA 149	B) 5 cm Muck	y Peat o	r Peat (S3) (LRR K, L ,	, R)
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky M	lineral (F	⁻ 1) (LRR K	K, L)	Dark Surfa	ce (S7) (LRR K, L)	
Stratif	ied Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed N	Aatrix (F	2)		Polyvalue I	Below Su	urface (S8) (LRR K, L)	
Deplet	ted Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Thin Dark \$	Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6	5) 		Iron-Manga	anese Ma	asses (F12) (LRR K, L	., R)
X Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	Surface ((F7)		Piedmont P	-loodplai	n Soils (F19) (MLRA 1	49B)
Sandy	Redox (S5)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8))		Red Paren	t Matoria	(WILKA 144A, 145, 14	+9D)
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)						Verv Shallo	w Dark S	Surface (TF12)	
? Dark S	Surface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 14	!9B)				Other (Exp	lain in Re	emarks)	
—									,	
³ Indicators	of hydrophytic veget	ation and	wetland hydrology mu	st be pro	esent, unle	ss distur	bed or problematic.			
Restrictive	e Layer (if observed):								
Type: N	A									
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent?	Yes X No	
Remarks:										

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St joh	insbury	City/Count	y: St Johnsbury	Sa	mpling Date: 5/30/	2023
Applicant/Owner: Velco				State: VT	Sampling Point:	Upland
Investigator(s): DB		Section, To	wnship, Range:			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	hillslope	Local relief (c	concave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%): 25
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR	RLat: 44.	4197584	Long: 71.98497	07	Datum: TF	'4
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland fin	ie sandy loam			NWI classification	on: Class 3	
Are climatic / hydrologic condition	s on the site typical for th	is time of year?	Yes <u>x</u> No(If	no, explain in R	emarks.)	
Are Vegetation x, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed	? Are "Normal Circum	stances" presen	t? Yes	No <u>x</u>
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	_naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain a	ny answers in R	Remarks.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	Yes SJ-W3	<u>No x</u>
Remarks: (Explain alternative proced	lures here or in	a separate report.)			

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)							
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is rec	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)								
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)						Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)				Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)				Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livi	ing Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4	4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	d Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery ((B7)	_	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Con	cave Surface	∋ (B8)		-		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:										
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No x					
(includes capillary fringe)										
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, r	monitor	ing v	vell, aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if avai	ilable:				
Remarks:										

Sampling Point: Wetland

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1				Number of Dominant Species	
2. Tsuga canadensis	3	No	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)	
3. Betula populifolia	1	No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant	
4. Acer saccharum	35	Yes	FACU	Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)	
5. Fraxinus americana	1	No	FACU	Demonst of Dominant Spacing	
6. Betula alleghaniensis	3	No	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/E	3)
7.		·		Prevalence Index worksheet:	
	43	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')		'		OBL species 0 x 1 = 0	
1				FACW species 1 x 2 = 2	
2. Rhamnus cathartica	1	No	UPL	FAC species 4 x 3 =12	
3. Acer pensylvanicum	2	No	FACU	FACU species 53 x 4 = 212	
4.				UPL species x 5 =15	
5.				Column Totals:61(A)241(E	3)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.95	
7.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
	3	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5' x 5'</u>)				Dominance Test is >50%	
1.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
2. Polystichum acrostichoides	5	Yes	FACU	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting	
3. Dryopteris intermedia	5	Yes	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
4. Carex gracillima	2	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
5. Gymnocarpium dryopteris	2	No	UPL	The directory of budgie soil and wotland budgelogy must	
6. Arisaema triphyllum	1	No	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
7. Hieracium	2	No		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	
8.				Trace Mandy plants 2 in (7.6 cm) or more in	
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of heigh	t.
10.				Continuation Woody plants less than 3 in DBH	
11.				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
12.				- All herbesoolie (nep woody) plante, regardler	~~
	17	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	S
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Weedy vince All weedy vince greater than 3.28 ft	:n
1.				height.	h
2. None present					
3.				Hydrophytic	
4.				Present? Yes No x	
		=Total Cover			
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			<u> </u>	

Profile Description: (Describe to	the depth r	needed to docu	ment th	e indicato	or or cont	firm the absence of indica	tors.)		
Depth Matrix		Redox	k Feature	es					
(inches) Color (moist)	% C	olor (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remark	S	
0-10 10yr 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loose/d	ry	
10-14 10yr 4/3	100					Sandy	Loose/d	ry	
l									
						2			
Type: C=Concentration, D=Deplet	tion, RIVI=Re	duced Matrix, C	S=Cover	ed or Coa	ited Sand	Grains. Cocation: P	L=Pore Lining,	M=Matrix.	
Historol (A1)		Polyvaluo Bolow	Surface	(S8) (I P		2 cm Muck (A10)			
Histic Eninedon (A2)	'	MI PA 1/0R)	Sunace	(30) (LK	к к ,	Coast Prairie Red	(LKK K, L, WL)	KA 149D)	
Black Histic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surfac	ce (S9) (I RA 149	B) 5 cm Mucky Peat	or Peat (S3) (I	RRKIR)	
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	—	Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) (I RR M		Dark Surface (S7)		, L, N/	
Stratified Lavers (A5)	i	Loamy Gleved N	latrix (F2	?) 2)	., _/	Polyvalue Below S	Surface (S8) (L	RR K. L)	
Depleted Below Dark Surface ((A11) [Depleted Matrix	(F3)	-,		Thin Dark Surface	(S9) (LRR K.	L)	
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<u> </u>	Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)	1		Iron-Manganese N	(000) (<u>-</u> 11111, 1asses (F12) (I	–/ LRR K, L, R)	
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	urface (I	-7)		Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)	(MLRA 149B)	
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depressio	ons (F8)	,		Mesic Spodic (TA	6) (MLRA 144	A, 145, 149B)	
Sandy Redox (S5)						Red Parent Materi	al (TF2)		
Stripped Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, ML	.RA 149B)					Other (Explain in F	Remarks)		
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetatio	n and wetlan	nd hydrology mu	st be pre	sent, unle	ess disturb	bed or problematic.			
Restrictive Layer (if observed):									
Type: <u>NA</u>		_							
Depth (inches):		_				Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No x	
Remarks:						•			

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St Johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Samplin	g Date: 5/30/2	023
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State: VT S	ampling Point:	Wetland
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44.4176192	Long: 71.983264	ļ.	Datum: TP#	9
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot Silt Ioam	N	WI classification: 2		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes <u>x</u> No (If r	io, explain in Remarl	ks.)	
Are Vegetation <u>x</u> , Soil , or Hydrology signification	ntly disturbed? Are "Normal Circums	tances" present?	Yes N	lo <u>x</u>
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally	v problematic? (If needed, explain ar	y answers in Remar	·ks.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes x No Yes x No Yes x No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes x No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland SJ-W4
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu PEMB/PSSB: Emergent marsh/scrub s	res here or in a separate report.) shrub under powerline	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
x Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2) x Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No x Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes No x Depth (inches):				
Optimation Descent() Versus Net Desth (inclusion) Optimation	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland	a Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe)	a Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Saturation Present? Yes _ x _ No Depth (inches): _ 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	available:			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	a Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	a Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): O Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present ? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present ? Yes <u>X</u> No			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present ? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes x No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present ? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No <u>Depth (inches):</u> <u>0</u> Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present ? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No <u>Depth (inches)</u> : <u>0</u> Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	a Hydrology Present ? Yes <u>X</u> No available:			
Saturation Present? Yes <u>x</u> No <u>Depth (inches):</u> <u>0</u> Wetland (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if Remarks:	available:			

Sampling Point:	Wetland
oumphing round.	TT Ottal la

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:			
, 1.							
2. None present				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)			
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:1(B)			
5				Percent of Dominant Species			
o				That Are OBE, FACW, of FAC. 100.0% (A/B)			
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species <u>10</u> x 1 = <u>10</u>			
1				FACW species 90 x 2 = 180			
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0			
3. None present				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0			
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0			
5.				Column Totals: 100 (A) 190 (B)			
6.			·	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.90$			
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
··		=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				X Dominance Test is >50%			
1				X Prevalence Index is $<3.0^{1}$			
2 Phalaric arundinacca	80	Voc	EACW/	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting			
		165		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
	10						
4. I ypha latifolia	10	No	OBL	 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) 			
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in			
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH			
11				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless			
	100	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Woody vines $-$ All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in			
1.				height.			
2.							
3 None present				Hydrophytic			
				Vegetation Present? Ves X No			
4		Tatal Causer					
		= I otal Cover					
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)						

Profile De	escription: (Describe	e to the de	pth needed to docu	ment th	e indicato	or or con	firm the absence of	indicator	rs.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks		
0-20	10yr 4/2	98	10yr 4/4	2	с	pl	Loamy/Clayey	Distin	ct redox conce	ntrations	
		·				<u> </u>					
		· ·									
		· ·									
		·									
		•									
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D=De	pletion, RN	/=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ited Sand	Grains. ² Loca	tion: PL=	Pore Lining, M	=Matrix.	
Hvdric So	il Indicators:		·				Indicators for	Problema	tic Hydric Soi	ls ³ :	
Histos	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface	e (S8) (LR	RR,	2 cm Muck	(A10) (LF	RR K, L, MLRA	A 149B)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)	•	MLRA 149B)		. , .	,	Coast Prai	rie Redox	(A16) (LRR K,	L, R)	
Black	Histic (A3)		, Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149	B) 5 cm Muck	v Peat or	Peat (S3) (LRI	R K, L, R)	
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)	•	Loamy Mucky M	lineral (F	1) (LRR M	(, L)	Dark Surfa	, ace (S7) (L	.RR K, L)	,	
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)	•	Loamy Gleved N	/atrix (F:	2)	. ,	Polyvalue	Below Sur	face (S8) (LRF	₹ K, L)	
Deple	ted Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	X Depleted Matrix	(F3)	,		Thin Dark	Surface (S	69) (LRR K, L)	. ,	
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)		Iron-Manga	anese Mas	sses (F12) (LR	R K, L, R)	
Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)	•	Depleted Dark S	Surface (, F7)		Piedmont I	Floodplain	Soils (F19) (M	LRA 149B)	
Sandy	Gleved Matrix (S4)	•	Redox Depressi	ons (F8)	,		Mesic Spo	dic (TA6)	(MLRA 144A,	145, 149B)	
Sandy	/ Redox (S5)			. ,			Red Paren	t Material	(TF2)		
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
Dark	Surface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 149	9 B)				Other (Exp	lain in Rei	marks)		
			,				、		,		
³ Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegeta	ation and w	vetland hydrology mu	st be pre	esent, unle	ss distur	bed or problematic.				
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed)):	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,						
Type: N	IA ,										
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent?	Yes X	No	
								ent.			
Remarks:											

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Sampl	ling Date: 5/30/2	:023
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State: VT	Sampling Point:	Upland
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	15
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44.4176139	Long: 71.983431	5	Datum: TP8	}
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam	N	WI classification:	Class 2	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes <u>x</u> No(If r	io, explain in Rema	arks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignification	antly disturbed? Are "Normal Circums	tances" present?	Yes N	√o <u>x</u>
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally	y problematic? (If needed, explain ar	y answers in Rem	arks.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	Yes SJ-W4	No
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Old field	ires here or in a	a separate report.)			

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required;	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches): Wetland Hyd	Irology Present? Yes No x		
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if avail	able:		
Remarks:				

Sampling Point: Wetland

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:			
1				Number of Dominant Species			
2. Thuja occidentalis	5	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)			
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B)			
5 6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)			
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	5	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:15' x 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0			
1.				FACW species 10 x 2 = 20			
2. Juniperus communis	10	Yes	UPL	FAC species 45 x 3 = 135			
3. Frangula alnus	25	Yes	FAC	FACU species 15 x 4 = 60			
4. Lonicera morrowii	10	Yes	NI	UPL species 70 x 5 = 350			
5. Rubus idaeus	5	No	FAC	Column Totals: 140 (A) 565 (B)			
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.04$			
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
	50	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				Dominance Test is >50%			
1.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹			
2. Bromus inermis	50	Yes	UPL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting			
3. Solidago rugosa	10	No	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
4. Galium mollugo	10	No	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
5. Solidago canadensis	15	No	FACU	<u></u>			
6. Osmunda clavtoniana	5	No	FAC	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
7. Symphyotrichum puniceum	5	No	FACW	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in			
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
12.							
	95	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in			
1				height.			
2. None present				Hydrophytic			
3				Vegetation			
4				Present? Yes <u>No x</u>			
		=Total Cover					
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)						

Profile De	escription: (Describe	e to the de	pth needed to docu	ment th	e indicate	or or con	firm the absence of indi	cators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-19	10vr 3/3	100					Loamy/Clavey	Loose/drv
	1091 0/0						Loamy/olayoy	Looso, ary
———								
<u> </u>								
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D=De	pletion, RM	A=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ted Sand	Grains. ² Location:	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	oil Indicators:						Indicators for Prob	lematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histos	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm Muck (A10	D) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie R	edox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149	B) 5 cm Mucky Pe	at or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky N	lineral (F	1) (LRR 🖌	K, L)	Dark Surface (S	57) (LRR K, L)
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed N	/latrix (F2	2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Deple	ted Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)		Iron-Manganese	e Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7)		Piedmont Flood	plain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8)			Mesic Spodic (1	A6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy	y Redox (S5)						Red Parent Mat	erial (TF2)
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow D	ark Surface (TF12)
Dark	Surface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 149	9B)				Other (Explain i	n Remarks)
³ Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegeta	ation and v	vetland hydrology mu	st be pre	esent, unle	ess distur	bed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed)):						
Type: N	JA							
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No x
, ,	,						.,	
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St Johnsbury			unty: St Johnsbury		Sampling Date:		2023
Applicant/Owner: Velco				State:	VT Sa	mpling Point:	Wetland
Investigator(s): DB		Section,	Township, Range:				
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Hillside	Local relief	f (concave, convex, none):	none		Slope (%)	6
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR I	RLat: 4	4.4181235	Long: 71.98478	857		Datum: TP#	ŧ7
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland fin	e sandy loam			NWI classif	ication: 2		
Are climatic / hydrologic condition	s on the site typical for	this time of year?	Yes x No (If	no, explain	in Remarks	s.)	
Are Vegetation x, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbe	ed? Are "Normal Circum	stances" pre	esent?	Yes 1	√o <u>x</u>
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problemati	ic? (If needed, explain a	iny answers	in Remark	s.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: SJ-W5
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>x</u>	No	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	ires here or in a s	separate report.)	
PEMB/PSSB: Emergent marsh/scrub s	shrub under pow	erline	

fronana nyarology maloata	ors:	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required;		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)			
x High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
x Saturation (A3)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					
Water Marks (B1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)					
Sediment Deposits (B2)		x Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livi	ng Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	l Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Con	cave Surface (B8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes No	x Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes x No	Depth (inches): 6					
Saturation Present?	Yes x No	Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes X No			
(includes capillary fringe)							
(includes capillary fringe)							
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avail	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avail	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	am gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	am gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if avai	lable:			

Sampling Point:	Wetland
oumpning romu.	vvoluna

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' x 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>1 (1003/20. 00 / 00</u>)	/0 00001	000000	Olalus	Dominance rest workshoet.
2. None present				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5.				Percent of Dominant Species
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
··		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $
<u></u> ,				FACW species $80 \times 2 = 160$
2.				FAC species 1 $x 3 = 3$
3. Salix spp.	1	No	Fac	FACU species $10 x4 = 40$
4.				UPL species $10 \times 5 = 50$
5.				Column Totals: 101 (A) 253 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.50$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	1	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hvdrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				X Dominance Test is >50%
1.				X Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
2. Phalaris arundinacea	25	Yes	FACW	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Onoclea sensibilis	15	Yes	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Galium mollugo	10	No	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Carex spp.	10	No	FACW	
6. Equisetum hyemale	20	Yes	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Symphyotrichum puniceum	10	No	FACW	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Poa annua	10	No	FACU	
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				
11.				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				
	100	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30' x 30'</u>) 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3. None present				Hydrophytic Monstation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile D	escription: (Describe	e to the d	epth needed to docu	iment th	ne indicato	or or con	firm the absence of	of indicato	ors.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
0-3	10yr 3/1	100					Muck		saturated	
3-10	10yr 4/1	96	7.5yr 4/4	4	с	pl	Loamy/Clayey	Promir	nent redox conc	entrations
							·			
					. <u> </u>					
					·					
							·			
					·					
							·			
					·		·			
1					<u> </u>					
Type: C	C=Concentration, D=De	pletion, R	M=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ited Sand	d Grains. ² Loc	ation: PL=	Pore Lining, M	=Matrix.
Hydric S				Curtoo			Indicators for		atic Hydric Sol	
Histo	o Eningdon (A2)			/ Sunace	e (58) (LR	κĸ,		ск (А10) (L Dirio Rodov	.KK K, L, MLK/	A 149B)
Blac	k Histic (Δ 3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9)		I RA 149	$(\mathbf{B}) = 5 \text{ cm Muc}$	ky Peat or	(A10) (LKK K, Peat (S3) (I R	RKIR)
	rogen Sulfide (A4)			lineral (F			Dark Surf	ace (S7) (ις ι ς, Ε , ι ς)
Strat	tified Lavers (A5)		Loamy Gleved N	/atrix (F	2)	(, L)	Polyvalue	Below Su	urface (S8) (LRF	R K. L)
Depl	leted Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	X Depleted Matrix	(F3)	_,		Thin Dark	Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	,,
Thicl	k Dark Surface (A12)	()	Redox Dark Sur	face (F6	5)		Iron-Man	ganese Ma	asses (F12) (LR	R K, L, R)
Sand	dy Mucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	Surface ((F7)		Piedmont	Floodplai	n Soils (F19) (N	ILRA 149B)
Sand	dy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8))		Mesic Sp	odic (TA6)	(MLRA 144A,	145, 149B)
Sand	dy Redox (S5)						Red Pare	nt Materia	l (TF2)	
Strip	ped Matrix (S6)						Very Sha	llow Dark S	Surface (TF12)	
Dark	Surface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 14	9B)				Other (Ex	plain in Re	emarks)	
2										
Indicator	rs of hydrophytic vegeta	ation and	wetland hydrology mu	st be pro	esent, unle	ess distur	bed or problematic.			
Restricti	ve Layer (if observed)):								
Type.										
Depth	(inches):						Hydric Soil Pre	sent?	Yes <u>X</u>	No
Remarks										

Project/Site: Velco SCAP St johnsbury	City/County: St Johnsbury	Samp	ling Date: 5/30/2	/2023	
Applicant/Owner: Velco		State: VT	Sampling Point:	Upland	
Investigator(s): DB	Section, Township, Range:				
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%)	15	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44.4188803	3 Long: 71.98478	42	Datum: TP8	3	
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland fine sandy loam	1	WI classification:	Class 2		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	of year? Yes x No (If	no, explain in Rem	arks.)		
Are Vegetation x, Soil , or Hydrology signific	antly disturbed? Are "Normal Circums	stances" present?	Yes I	√o <u>x</u>	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynatural	lly problematic? (If needed, explain a	ny answers in Rem	narks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u> No <u>x</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	Yes SJ-W5	No
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedur Old field under power line	es here or in a	separate report.)			

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:					Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is rec		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)				Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)				Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)				Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livi	ing Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4	1)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tille	d Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery	(B7)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Con	cave Surface	ə (B8)		-		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present?	Yes	No	х	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes <u>No x</u>
(includes capillary fringe)				-		
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, r	monito	ring v	vell, aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if avai	ilable:
Remarks:						

Sampling Point:	Wetland
oumpning round.	v v o tiuriu

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' v 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet
1	78 COVEI	opecies:	Olalus	Dominance rest worksheet.
2. none present				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' x 15')				OBL species x 1 =
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3				FACU species <u>1</u> x 4 = <u>4</u>
4. Lonicera morrowii	15	Yes	NI	UPL species 85 x 5 = 425
5				Column Totals: 86 (A) 429 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.99
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	15	=Total Cover		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' x 5')				Dominance Test is >50%
1				$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
2 Promus inormis	85	Voc		Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
2. Torovogum officinala		<u> </u>		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
	<u> </u>	INU	FACU	Drahlamatia Undrankutia Manatatian ¹ (Eurlain)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
5 6				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Sopling/obrub Woody plants loss than 2 in DPH
11.				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	86	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30' x 30'</u>)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2. None present				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes <u>No x</u>
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile De	scription: (Describ	e to the de	oth needed to docu	ment the	e indicato	or or con	firm the absence of indi	cators.)
Depth Matrix Redox Features								
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10yr 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Loose/dry
								,
				·				
						<u> </u>		
<u> </u>								
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D=De	pletion, RN	=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cover	ed or Coa	ated Sand	Grains. ² Location:	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	il Indicators:						Indicators for Prob	lematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histos	sol (A1)	-	Polyvalue Below	/ Surface	(S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm Muck (A10)) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie Re	edox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black	Histic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surfac	ce (S9) (I		LRA 149	B) 5 cm Mucky Pe	at or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Mucky M	lineral (F	1) (LRR P	(, L)	Dark Surface (S	67) (LRR K, L)
Stratif	ted Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed N	/latrix (F2	<u>(</u>)		Polyvalue Belov	
Depie	Ted Below Dark Surfa	ice (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3) face (EC)				Ce(S9)(LRRK, L)
	Dark Surface (A12)	-	Redox Dark Sur	ace (F6)			Biodmont Elood	$= \operatorname{Masses}(F 2) (\mathbf{LRR}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R})$
Sandy	(S1)	-	Depieted Dark 3		-7)		Mesic Spodic (T	A6) (MI PA 144A 145 149B)
Sandy	/ Bedox (S5)	-	Redux Depressi	0115 (1-0)			Red Parent Mat	erial (TE2)
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow D	ark Surface (TE12)
Dark S	Surface (S7) (I RR R.	MI RA 149	B)				Other (Explain i	n Remarks)
Daint			_)					in remaine)
³ Indicators	of hvdrophytic veget	ation and w	etland hvdrologv mu	st be pre	sent. unle	ess distur	bed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed):	, ,,					
Type: N	IA							
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No x
Pemarke:	· .							
Remarks.								

Vermont Wetland	Evaluation Form Jan. 201	19					
	VERMON	IT WETLAN	EVALUATION FORM				
Wetland ID)#:	SJ-1	Project #: Velco S	St J SCAP			
Date:	5/30/23	Investig	tor:DB				
<u>SUMMARY OF FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION:</u> Each function gets a score of 0= not present; L = Low; P = Present; or H = High.							
1. Water Storag Storm Runoff	e for Flood Water : f	and H	6. Rare, Threatened, and E Species Habitat	ndangered 0			
2. Surface & Gro	ound Water Protec	tion H	7. Education and Research Sciences	in Natural 0			
3. Fish Habitat		Р	8. Recreational Value and Benefits	Economic O			
4. Wildlife Habi	tat	н	9. Open Space and Aesthet	ics0			
5. Exemplary W Community	etland Natural	0	10. Erosion Control throug Stabilizing the Soil	sh Binding and L			

Note:

- When to use this form: This is a field form to help you compile data needed to evaluate the 10 possible functions and values of a wetland as described in the Vermont Wetland Rules. All information in this form is replicated in the applications for both wetland determinations and wetland permits.
- Both a desktop review and field examination should be employed to accurately determine surrounding land use, hydrology, hydroperiod, vegetation, position in the landscape, and physical attributes.
- **The entire wetland or wetland complex** in question must be evaluated to determine the level of function in all ten (10) categories for accurate classification. A wetland complex can be defined as a series of interconnected wetland types.
- **The surrounding upland and outflow area** of the wetland should be examined to determine land use, development, nearby natural resources, and hydrology. The surrounding land use, previous development, and cumulative impacts may play a role in the current function of the wetland. For best results please read all descriptions prior to scoring activity.
- *Evaluation*: The first portion in each section determines whether the wetland does or does not provide the function. If none of the conditions listed in the first section are met, proceed

to the next section. If any of these conditions are met, determine if the wetland provides this function at a higher or lower level based on the information listed in the subsequent sections.

- **Presumptions:** Please note that many wetlands are already presumed to be significant under the Vermont Wetland Rules. A wetland is presumed to be significant if:
 - \circ $\;$ The wetland is mapped on the VSWI map $\;$
 - The wetland is contiguous to a VSWI mapped wetland
 - The wetland meets the presumptions of significance under Section 4.6
 - The wetland has a preliminary determination that it is Class II

1. Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff

Fund char	ction is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative acteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.								
	Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstricted inlet.								
	Physical space for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetar or dense woody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff dur peak flows and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration.								
	If a stream is present, its course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation t intercept surface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods.								
	Phys wate	sical ev er mark	idence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, s on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water.						
	Hydr	ologic	or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding.						
lf an follov level	y of th wing t :	ne abov o detei	ve boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the rmine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate						
Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.									
	Sign ques (unle	ificant stion pr ess the	flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in ovides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment).						
	Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland.								
	Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures.								
Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collec of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.									
Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland prototis function at a <i>higher</i> level.									
	History of downstream flood damage to public or private property.								
	Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function.								
		1.	Developed public or private property.						
		2.	Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion.						
		3.	Important habitat for aquatic life.						
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.								

		Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland.						
		1. A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas.						
		2. Relatively impervious soils.						
		3. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas.						
2.	S	urface and Ground Water Protection						
	Func char	tion is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative acteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.						
		Constricted or no outlets.						
		Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.						
		Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated.						
		Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.						
		Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.						
		Presence of seeps or springs.						
		Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.						
		Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area.						
		Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.						
		Wetland recharges a drinking water source.						
		Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.						
		Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.						
		Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.						
		The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.						
	lf an follo level	y of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the wing to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate .						
	Che this t	ck box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>lower</i> level.						

Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished

	nutrient uptake.
	Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
	Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.
Chec this f	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.
	The wetland provides flows to Class A surface waters.
	The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.

3. Fish Habitat

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability.

Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers.

Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike.

Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species.

➤ The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water, and food sources.

4. Wildlife Habitat

Fun char	iction is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative racteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.							
	Provides and feed water we	s resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, ding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open etlands.						
	Habitat t species water ha or natura	to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open abitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, ally vegetated buffer zone.						
	Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.							
	Supports bird that staging America warbler,	s or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, in bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean and common loon.						
	Supports softwood trails, or	s winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for these species include d swamps. Evidence of use includes deer browsing, bark stripping, worn pellet piles.						
	Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic.							
	Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers and streams.							
	Supports more co	s an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or nsecutive years by an adult beaver population.						
	Provides amphibia	s the following habitats that support the reproduction of Uncommon Vermont an species including:						
	1. V s	Wood Frog, Jefferson Salamander, Blue-spotted Salamander, or Spotted Salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.						
	2. s	Northern Dusky Salamander and the Spring Salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.						
	T 3. T	The Four-toed salamander; Fowler's Toad; Western or Boreal Chorus frog, or other amphibians found in Vermont of similar significance.						

	Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont amphibian species including, but not limited to Pickerel Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Mink Frog, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of species includes large marsh systems with open water components.									
	Supports or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile species including: Wood Turtle, Northern Map Turtle, Eastern Musk Turtle, Spotted Turtle, Spiny Softshell, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Northern Watersnake, and others found in Vermont of similar significance.									
	Suppo specie commo	rts or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile s, including Smooth Greensnake, DeKay's Brownsnake, or other more on wetland-associated species.								
	Meets	four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:								
	☐ 1.	Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog;								
	2.	The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp;								
	3.	Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream;								
	4.	Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land;								
	5.	Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water;								
	6 .	One of the following:								
		 i. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile; 								
		 ii. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile; 								
		■ iii. within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected;								
	Wetlan goverr	d or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal iment and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation; and								
	Contair	is evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species.								
lf an follo leve	y of the wing to I.	above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate								
Cheo this	ck box if function	any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides at a <i>lower</i> level.								
	The we	etland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in								

developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply). The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use. The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance. The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species. Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *higher* level. The wetland complex is large in size and high in quality. The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above. Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor. The wetland has been identified by ANR-F&W as important habitat.

5. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Wetlands that are identified as hig	h quality examples of Vermont's natural community
types recognized by the Natural H	eritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and
Wildlife Department, including rare	e types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine
peatlands, red maple-black gum s	wamps and the more common types including deep
bulrush marshes, cattail marshes,	northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack
swamps, and red maple-black ash	seepage swamps are automatically significant for
this function.	

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:

- Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.
- Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to:
 - Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;
 - Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics;
 - A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;

A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or

A large wetland complex with examples of several wetland community types.

6. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat

Function is present and likely to be significant:	Any of the	following	physical and	l vegetative
characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.				

Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function.

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply:

] There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists;

There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years;

There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department;

There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank).

List name of species and ranking:

7. Education and Research in Natural Sciences

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.





History of use for education or research.

Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.

8. **Recreational Value and Economic Benefits**

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate
the wetland provides this function.



Provides economic benefits.

Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.

Used for	harvesting	of wild	foods.
----------	------------	---------	--------

Comments:

9. **Open Space and Aesthetics**

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Can be readily observed by the public; and

Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or

Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape;

Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.

10. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.



- Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.
- Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.

Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.

What type of erosive forces are present?

Lake fetch and waves

High current velocities

Water level influenced by upstream impoundment

If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *lower* level.



The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *higher* level.

The stream contains high sinuosity.

Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.
Vermont Wetland	Evaluation Form Jan. 2019				
	VERMONT V	VETLAND	EVALUATION FO	RM	
Wetland ID)#:SJ-2		Project #:	Velco St J SCAP	
Date:	5/30/23	Investigat	or:	DB	
SUMMARY Each functio	OF FUNCTIONAL E on gets a score of 0=	VALUATIC not preser	<u>)N:</u> nt; L = Low; P = Pre	esent; or H = High.	
1. Water Storag Storm Runoff	e for Flood Water and f	Р	6. Rare, Threaten Species Habitat	ed, and Endangered t	0
2. Surface & Gro	ound Water Protection	P	7. Education and Sciences	Research in Natural	0
3. Fish Habitat		Р	8. Recreational Va Benefits	alue and Economic	0
4. Wildlife Habi	itat	Р	9. Open Space and	l Aesthetics	0
5. Exemplary W Community	etland Natural	0	10. Erosion Contr Stabilizing the So	ol through Binding an il	nd P

Note:

- When to use this form: This is a field form to help you compile data needed to evaluate the 10 possible functions and values of a wetland as described in the Vermont Wetland Rules. All information in this form is replicated in the applications for both wetland determinations and wetland permits.
- Both a desktop review and field examination should be employed to accurately determine surrounding land use, hydrology, hydroperiod, vegetation, position in the landscape, and physical attributes.
- **The entire wetland or wetland complex** in question must be evaluated to determine the level of function in all ten (10) categories for accurate classification. A wetland complex can be defined as a series of interconnected wetland types.
- **The surrounding upland and outflow area** of the wetland should be examined to determine land use, development, nearby natural resources, and hydrology. The surrounding land use, previous development, and cumulative impacts may play a role in the current function of the wetland. For best results please read all descriptions prior to scoring activity.
- *Evaluation*: The first portion in each section determines whether the wetland does or does not provide the function. If none of the conditions listed in the first section are met, proceed

to the next section. If any of these conditions are met, determine if the wetland provides this function at a higher or lower level based on the information listed in the subsequent sections.

- **Presumptions:** Please note that many wetlands are already presumed to be significant under the Vermont Wetland Rules. A wetland is presumed to be significant if:
 - \circ $\;$ The wetland is mapped on the VSWI map $\;$
 - The wetland is contiguous to a VSWI mapped wetland
 - The wetland meets the presumptions of significance under Section 4.6
 - The wetland has a preliminary determination that it is Class II

1. Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff

Fund char	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.				
	Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstricted inlet.				
	Physical space for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetation or dense woody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff during peak flows and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration.				
	If a stream is present, its course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to intercept surface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods.				
	Physical evidence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, water marks on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water.				
	Hydrologic or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding.				
lf an follov level	y of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the wing to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate :				
Chec this f	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>lower</i> level.				
	Significant flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in question provides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage (unless the upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment).				
	Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland.				
	Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures.				
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.				
Chec this f	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.				
	History of downstream flood damage to public or private property.				
	Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function.				
	1. Developed public or private property.				
	2. Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion.				
	3. Important habitat for aquatic life.				
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.				

		Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland.
		1. A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas.
		2. Relatively impervious soils.
		3. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas.
2.	S	urface and Ground Water Protection
	Func char	tion is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative acteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
		Constricted or no outlets.
		Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.
		Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated.
		Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.
		Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.
		Presence of seeps or springs.
		Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.
		Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area.
		Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.
		Wetland recharges a drinking water source.
		Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.
		Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.
		Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.
		The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.
	lf an follo level	y of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the wing to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate .
	Che this t	ck box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>lower</i> level.

Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished

	nutrient uptake.
	Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
	Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.
Chec this f	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.
	The wetland provides flows to Class A surface waters.
	The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.

3. Fish Habitat

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability.

Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers.

Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike.

Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species.

The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water, and food sources.

4. Wildlife Habitat

Fund chara	ction is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative acteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
	Provides resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, and feeding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open water wetlands.
	Habitat to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all species of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open water habitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, or naturally vegetated buffer zone.
	Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.
	Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean warbler, and common loon.
	Supports winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for these species include softwood swamps. Evidence of use includes deer browsing, bark stripping, worn trails, or pellet piles.
	Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic.
	Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers and streams.
	Supports an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or more consecutive years by an adult beaver population.
	Provides the following habitats that support the reproduction of Uncommon Vermont amphibian species including:
	1. Wood Frog, Jefferson Salamander, Blue-spotted Salamander, or Spotted Salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.
	2. Northern Dusky Salamander and the Spring Salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.
	3. The Four-toed salamander; Fowler's Toad; Western or Boreal Chorus frog, or other amphibians found in Vermont of similar significance.

	Suppo specie and ot specie	rts or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont amphibian s including, but not limited to Pickerel Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Mink Frog, hers found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of s includes large marsh systems with open water components.
	Suppo specie Turtle, in Verr	rts or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile s including: Wood Turtle, Northern Map Turtle, Eastern Musk Turtle, Spotted Spiny Softshell, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Northern Watersnake, and others found nont of similar significance.
	Suppo specie commo	rts or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile s, including Smooth Greensnake, DeKay's Brownsnake, or other more on wetland-associated species.
	Meets	four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:
	☐ 1.	Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog;
	2.	The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp;
	3.	Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream;
	4.	Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land;
	5.	Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water;
	6 .	One of the following:
		 i. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile;
		 ii. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile;
		■ iii. within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected;
	Wetlan goverr	d or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal iment and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation; and
	Contair	is evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species.
lf an follo leve	y of the wing to I.	above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate
Cheo this	ck box if function	any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides at a <i>lower</i> level.
	The we	etland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in

	developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply).
	The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use.
	The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance.
	The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species.
Che this	ck box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland complex is large in size and high in quality.
	The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above.
	Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor.
	The wetland has been identified by ANR-F&W as important habitat.

5. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community
types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and
Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine
peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep
bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack
swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for
this function.

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:

Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and
mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage
Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.

Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to:

Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;

Forested wetlands dis	plaving verv old	trees and other	old arowth	characteristics:
	playing vory old		old growth	onalactoriotioo,

A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;

A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or

A large wetland complex with examples of several wetland community types.

6. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat

Function is present and likely to be significant:	Any of the	following	physical and	l vegetative
characteristics indicate the wetland provides th	is function.			

Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function.

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply:

] There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists;

There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years;

There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department;

There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank).

List name of species and ranking:

7. Education and Research in Natural Sciences

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.





History of use for education or research.

Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.

8. **Recreational Value and Economic Benefits**

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate
the wetland provides this function.



Provides economic benefits.

Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.

Used for	harvesting	of wild	foods.
----------	------------	---------	--------

Comments:

9. **Open Space and Aesthetics**

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Can be readily observed by the public; and

Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or

Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape;

Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.

10. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.



- Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.
- Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.

Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.

What type of erosive forces are present?

Lake fetch and waves

High current velocities

Water level influenced by upstream impoundment

If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *lower* level.



The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *higher* level.

The stream contains high sinuosity.

Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.

Vermont Wetland Evaluation Form Jan. 2019							
	VERMONT WETLAND EVALUATION FORM						
Wetland ID	#:SJ-4/S	J-3		Project #:	Velco St J SCAP		
Date:	5/30/23	Invest	igato	r:	DB		
<u>SUMMARY</u> Each functio	<u>SUMMARY OF FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION:</u> Each function gets a score of 0= not present; L = Low; P = Present; or H = High.						
1. Water Storag Storm Runoff	e for Flood Water and	P		6. Rare, Threater Species Habita	ned, and Endangered It	0	
2. Surface & Gro	ound Water Protection	n P		7. Education and Sciences	Research in Natural	0	
			-				
3. Fish Habitat		Ρ		8. Recreational V Benefits	alue and Economic	0	
4. Wildlife Habi	tat	Ρ		9. Open Space an	d Aesthetics	0	
5. Exemplary W Community	etland Natural	0		10. Erosion Contr Stabilizing the So	rol through Binding a bil	nd P	

Note:

- When to use this form: This is a field form to help you compile data needed to evaluate the 10 possible functions and values of a wetland as described in the Vermont Wetland Rules. All information in this form is replicated in the applications for both wetland determinations and wetland permits.
- Both a desktop review and field examination should be employed to accurately determine surrounding land use, hydrology, hydroperiod, vegetation, position in the landscape, and physical attributes.
- **The entire wetland or wetland complex** in question must be evaluated to determine the level of function in all ten (10) categories for accurate classification. A wetland complex can be defined as a series of interconnected wetland types.
- **The surrounding upland and outflow area** of the wetland should be examined to determine land use, development, nearby natural resources, and hydrology. The surrounding land use, previous development, and cumulative impacts may play a role in the current function of the wetland. For best results please read all descriptions prior to scoring activity.
- *Evaluation*: The first portion in each section determines whether the wetland does or does not provide the function. If none of the conditions listed in the first section are met, proceed

to the next section. If any of these conditions are met, determine if the wetland provides this function at a higher or lower level based on the information listed in the subsequent sections.

- **Presumptions:** Please note that many wetlands are already presumed to be significant under the Vermont Wetland Rules. A wetland is presumed to be significant if:
 - \circ $\;$ The wetland is mapped on the VSWI map $\;$
 - The wetland is contiguous to a VSWI mapped wetland
 - The wetland meets the presumptions of significance under Section 4.6
 - The wetland has a preliminary determination that it is Class II

1. Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff

	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.					
		Cons	tricted	outlet or no outlet and an unconstricted inlet.		
		Phys or de peak	ical sp ense w flows	ace for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetation oody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff during and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration.		
		lf a si intero	tream cept รเ	is present, its course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to urface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods.		
		Phys wate	ical ev r mark	idence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, s on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water.		
		Hydro	ologic	or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding.		
	lf an follov level	y of th wing to :	e abov o detei	ve boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the mine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate		
	Chec this f	k box functic	if any on at a	of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides <i>lower</i> level.		
		Signi ques (unle	ficant tion pr ess the	flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in ovides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment).		
		Wetla indep	and is bender	contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits ntly of the wetland.		
Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dam temporary structures.				torage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other structures.		
		Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.				
	Chec this t	k box functic	if any on at a	of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides <i>higher</i> level.		
		Histo	ory of o	downstream flood damage to public or private property.		
Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upst major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water sto function.				following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage		
			1.	Developed public or private property.		
			2.	Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion.		
			3.	Important habitat for aquatic life.		
		The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.				

	Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland.				
		1. A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas.			
		2. Relatively impervious soils.			
		3. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas.			
2.	S	urface and Ground Water Protection			
	Func char	tion is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative acteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.			
		Constricted or no outlets.			
		Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.			
		Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated.			
		Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.			
		Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.			
		Presence of seeps or springs.			
		Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.			
		Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area.			
		Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.			
		Wetland recharges a drinking water source.			
		Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.			
		Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.			
		Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.			
		The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.			
	lf an follo level	y of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the wing to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate .			
	Che this t	ck box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>lower</i> level.			

Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished

	nutrient uptake.
	Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
	Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.
Chec this f	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.
	The wetland provides flows to Class A surface waters.
	The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.

3. Fish Habitat

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability.

Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers.

Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike.

Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species.

➤ The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water, and food sources.

4. Wildlife Habitat

	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.			
		Provides and feed water we	resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, ling habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open etlands.	
Habitat to support one or more bree species of ducks, geese, and swans water habitats adjacent shallow ma or naturally vegetated buffer zone.		Habitat t species water ha or natura	o support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open abitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, ally vegetated buffer zone.	
	Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wadin including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night hero backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these sp open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing c		a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds g but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green- neron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include iter or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.	
Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding p bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spre warbler, and common loon.		Supports bird that staging r America warbler,	s or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, n bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean and common loon.	
		Supports softwood trails, or	s winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for these species include d swamps. Evidence of use includes deer browsing, bark stripping, worn pellet piles.	
Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moos assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includ in a forested mosaic.		important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an nent of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located sted mosaic.		
Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitate include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water inc rivers and streams.		Has the include o rivers an	habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitats for these species deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, id streams.	
		Supports more co	s an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or nsecutive years by an adult beaver population.	
		Provides amphibia	the following habitats that support the reproduction of Uncommon Vermont an species including:	
		1. \ 1. \ 5	Wood Frog, Jefferson Salamander, Blue-spotted Salamander, or Spotted Salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.	
		2. I s	Northern Dusky Salamander and the Spring Salamander. Habitat for these pecies includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.	
	 3. The Four-toed salamander; Fowler's Toad; Western or Boreal Chorus to other amphibians found in Vermont of similar significance. 			

	Suppo specie and ot specie	rts or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont amphibian s including, but not limited to Pickerel Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Mink Frog, hers found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of s includes large marsh systems with open water components.
	Suppo specie Turtle, in Verr	rts or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile s including: Wood Turtle, Northern Map Turtle, Eastern Musk Turtle, Spotted Spiny Softshell, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Northern Watersnake, and others found nont of similar significance.
	Suppo specie commo	rts or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile s, including Smooth Greensnake, DeKay's Brownsnake, or other more on wetland-associated species.
	Meets	four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:
	☐ 1.	Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog;
	2.	The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp;
	3.	Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream;
	4 .	Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land;
	5.	Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water;
	6 .	One of the following:
		 i. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile;
		ii. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile;
		■ iii. within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected;
	Wetlan goverr	d or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal iment and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation; and
	Contair	is evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species.
lf an follo leve	y of the wing to I.	above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate
Cheo this	ck box if function	any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides at a <i>lower</i> level.
	The we	etland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in

	developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply).
	The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use.
	The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance.
	The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species.
Che this	ck box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland complex is large in size and high in quality.
	The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above.
	Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor.
	The wetland has been identified by ANR-F&W as important habitat.

5. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community
types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and
Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine
peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep
bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack
swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for
this function.

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:

- Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.
- Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to:
 - Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;
 - Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics;
 - A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;

A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or

A large wetland complex with examples of several wetland community types.

6. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat

Function is present and likely to be significant:	Any of the	following	physical and	l vegetative
characteristics indicate the wetland provides th	is function.			

Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function.

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply:

] There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists;

There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years;

There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department;

There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank).

List name of species and ranking:

7. Education and Research in Natural Sciences

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.





History of use for education or research.

Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.

8. **Recreational Value and Economic Benefits**

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate
the wetland provides this function.



Provides economic benefits.

Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.

Used for	harvesting	of wild	foods.
----------	------------	---------	--------

Comments:

9. **Open Space and Aesthetics**

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Can be readily observed by the public; and

Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or

Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape;

Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.

10. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.



- Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.
- Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.

Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.

What type of erosive forces are present?

Lake fetch and waves

High current velocities

Water level influenced by upstream impoundment

If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *lower* level.



The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *higher* level.

The stream contains high sinuosity.

Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.

Table 1: Wetland Summary Table

WETLAND		Size	COWARDIN	FUNCTIONS AND	OVERLAPS VSWI	WETLAND	ASSOCIATED	VERNAL POOL	
ID	Town	(acres)	CLASSIFICATION**	VALUES***	(Y/N)	CLASSIFICATION*	STREAMS	(Y/N)	NOTES
SJ-1	St. Johnsbury	3.05	PFOC/PSSB/PEMB	1H,2H,3P,4H,8L	N	2	SJ-8, SJ-9	N	
SJ-2	St. Johnsbury	0.26	PFOC/PSSC	1P, 2P, 3P, 4P, 10P	N	2		N	
SJ-3	St. Johnsbury	0.01	PEMB		N	3		N	
SJ-4	St. Johnsbury	1.23	PEMB/PSSB	1P,2P,3P,4P,10P	N	2	SJ-1, SJ-3	N	
SJ-5	St. Johnsbury	0.03	PEMB/PSSB		N	3		N	
SJ-6	St. Johnsbury	0.21			Ν	2		N	Offsite- observed from fence. Not flagged or mapped. Boundary APPROX

*:Wetland delineations and classifications preliminary, to be confirmed by VT DEC and ACOE on 9/21/23

**: Cowardin Classification PEM: Palustrine emergent wetland PSS: Palustrine scrub shrub wetland PFO: Palustrine forested wetland

***: Vermont Wetlands Functions and Values

Army Corps of Engineers Functions and Values Equivalent/Similar

1-Water storage for flood water and storm runoff

2-Surface and groundwater protection

3-Fisheries habitat

4-Wildlife and migratory bird habitat

6-Threatened and endangered species habitat

10-Erosion control through binding and stabilizing soil

Floodflow Alteration (Storage and Desynchronization) Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal/Retention/Transformation Fish and Shellfish Habitat Wildlife habitat Endangered species Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization